

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled “**Studies on cellulase-producing bacteria isolated from a vermicompost-derived consortium and to evaluate their synergism in depolymerization of agricultural residues**” has been prepared by me under the guidance of Prof. Shilpi Ghosh, Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal.

No part of thesis has formed the basis for the award of any degree or fellowship previously.

Arijita Basak

(Arijita Basak)

Department of Biotechnology
University of North Bengal
Raja Rammohunpur
PO: North Bengal University
Dist: Darjeeling, West Bengal, India.
PIN: 734013

Date: *10/8/2023*



DEPARTMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

University of North Bengal

ACCREDITED BY NAAC WITH GRADE B++

0353-2776354

0353-2699001

www.nbu.ac.in

P.O. NORTH BENGAL UNIVERSITY

RAJA RAMMOHUNPUR, SILIGURI - 734013

DIST. DARJEELING, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

समानो मन्त्रः समितिः समानी

Ref. No.....

Date..... 10/8/2023.....

CERTIFICATE

I certify that Ms. Arijita Basak has prepared the thesis entitled “Studies on cellulase-producing bacteria isolated from a vermicompost-derived consortium and to evaluate their synergism in depolymerization of agricultural residues” for the award of Ph.D degree of the University of North Bengal under my guidance. She has carried out the whole work in the Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal. No part of this thesis has formed the basis for the award of any degree or fellowship previously.

Date: 10/8/2023


(Prof. Shilpi Ghosh)

DR. SHILPI GHOSH
Professor
Department of Biotechnology
University of North Bengal

Document Information

Analyzed document	Arijita Basak_Biotechnology.pdf (D172406730)
Submitted	7/27/2023 1:27:00 PM
Submitted by	University of North Bengal
Submitter email	nbuplg@nbu.ac.in
Similarity	0%
Analysis address	nbuplg.nbu@analysis.arkund.com

Sources included in the report

Entire Document

Studies on cellulase-producing bacteria isolated from a vermicompost- derived consortium and to evaluate their synergism in depolymerization of agricultural residues Thesis submitted to the University of North Bengal For the Award of Doctor of Philosophy in Biotechnology Submitted by Arijita Basak Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal Supervisor Prof. Shilpi Ghosh Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India - 734013 July, 2023

1 Chapter 1 General Introduction and Review of Literature 1.1. Introduction Lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) from plants is the most abundant biomass on earth. It mainly includes various agricultural residues, such as cereal straw, bagasse, forest residues, waste from paper and pulp industry etc. The annual worldwide production of LCB amounts to approximately 181.5 billion tons, of which 8.2 million tons is currently utilized. Traditionally, LCB is utilized for energy requirement and production of paper, textile and construction materials. With advancement in technology, LCB has been realized as a sustainable source of biofuel, bioplastics, enzymes and other value added products (Mujtaba et al. 2023). LCB is primarily comprised of two carbohydrate components, cellulose (40-50 %), hemicellulose (25-30 %), and one non-carbohydrate phenolic polymer, lignin (15-20 %). Cellulose is the major structural polysaccharide of plant cell wall, and is an unbranched homopolymer of 4-O- β -D- glucopyranosyl-D-glucose units. Unlike cellulose, hemicellulose is composed of several heterogenous polymers like xylan, glucuronoxylan, arabinoxylan and xyloglucan, and its main function is to strengthen the cell wall through binding to the cellulose microfibrils. Lignin is a phenylpropanoid polymer composed of sinapyl (S), coniferyl (G) and p-coumaryl (H) alcohol units connected through C-C and ester linkages. It forms an impenetrable network around cellulose which reduces its surface area for hydrolysis by microbial enzymes (Tan et al. 2021, Wu et al. 2020b). Cellulose and hemicellulose are depolymerized to sugars for further conversion by fermentation and biocatalytic processes to value added products, whereas lignin can be extracted for production of aromatics (Weng et al. 2021, Intasian et al. 2021). However, LCB remains unutilized or under-utilized due to its high degree of lignification and therefore, its bioconversion is challenging for development of economic biorefineries (Mora-Sandi et al. 2021). Currently, the LCB refinery requires energy-intensive pretreatment steps for removal of lignin and subsequent hydrolysis with a cocktail of cellulolytic enzymes to liberate fermentable sugars. In recent years, significant progress has been achieved in removal of recalcitrant lignin through physical, chemical and physicochemical pretreatments, however, these pretreatments are energy intensive and produce microbial and enzymatic inhibitors that obstruct the downstream cellulolytic saccharification and fermentation (Sanchez-Munoz et al. 2022). Microbial depolymerization of LCB is a consequence of the synergistic action of hydrolases, lignin-modifying enzymes (LME) and their associated enzymes. The CAZy database classifies carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) into six categories: glycoside hydrolases (GH) that cleave glycoside bonds, glycosyl transferases (GT) that help in the formation of glycoside bonds, carbohydrate esterases (CE) that modify ester bonds, polysaccharide lyases (PL) that cleave glycoside bonds via non-hydrolytic pathways, auxiliary active (AA) enzymes that are oxidoreductases and assist the other enzymes in LCB degradation. Other than these, there are


DR. SHILPI GHOSH
Professor
Department of Biotechnology
University of North Bengal

Arijita Basak
10/8/2023

PREFACE

Agroresidues are a rich source of lignocellulosic material and can serve as a replenishable feedstock for valorization into value-added products such as green chemicals, second generation biofuels, biosolids, sugars and food supplements. They are composed of lignin (15-35) % which is an aromatic polymer, cellulose (30-50) % which is a linear polymer of glucose linked by β -1,4-D-glycosidic bonds, and hemicellulose (15-40) % which is a soluble heteropolysaccharide. Lignin and cellulose form a complex, hydrolysis-resistant network that holds the hemicellulose. It requires extreme reaction conditions (>300 °C) to degrade these two polymers and cannot be feasibly carried out in biorefineries. Lignocellulose degradation environment harbors microorganisms that produce lignocellulolytic biocatalysts. These enzymes act synergistically to bring about polysaccharide deconstruction and are able to act under milder conditions. Lignocellulolytic consortia have potential for the bioprospecting of novel genes that encode hydrolytic and non-hydrolytic enzymes for the purpose of industrial saccharification. However, they do not offer elucidation of the microbial dynamics and the numerous biochemical pathways involved in their degradation. Co-culturing of microorganisms is a simpler process which helps to explore the enzymatic interactions. Bacterial enzymes are known to tolerate elevated temperatures, a broad range of pH, metal ions, inhibitors, surfactants and reducing agents and are in demand in biorefineries. Despite several advantages, bacteria have largely remained unexplored in the context of co-culturing, due to the underproduction of bacterial enzymes. It is desirable to utilize bacteria in the large scale production of industrially applicable biocatalysts that can replace commercial fungal enzymes in the saccharification of pretreated agroresidues. Current investigation aimed to explore the cellulolytic potential of a thermotolerant, lignocellulolytic consortium prepared from vermicompost using rice straw as substrate and identify the different CAZymes expressed by its metagenome. Culturable bacteria isolated from it were used in the construction of a synthetic consortium whose cellulase production was optimized by both conventional and statistical approaches to explore its saccharification potential on pretreated rice straw relative to the commercial cellulase Celluclast. The cellulase PtCell1 was purified and characterized in terms of pH optima and stability, temperature optima and stability, tolerance to metals, denaturants and inhibitors, and its gene was cloned and sequenced.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“No one who achieves success does so without acknowledging the help of others. The wise and confident acknowledge this help with gratitude” – Alfred North Whitehead

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all the people whose support had helped me overcome obstacles and achieve my goal.

I feel myself indebted to my esteemed supervisor Dr. Shilpi Ghosh, Professor, Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal, West Bengal, for her constant guidance and assistance. I am thankful for the opportunity to have worked under her. This endeavor would not have been possible without her vigilance and invaluable feedback.

I am extremely grateful to Prof. Ranadhir Chakraborty, Prof. Dipanwita Saha, Dr. Anoop Kumar, Dr. Manab Deb Adhikari, Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal, West Bengal, and Dr. Shyama Prasad Saha, Department of Microbiology, University of North Bengal, West Bengal, for generously providing their knowledge and expertise.

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude towards the Vice Chancellor, Registrar and Dean, Faculty of Science, University of North Bengal, West Bengal, for their permission to let me do research work in the University premises and for financing this research.

I am thankful to all my seniors and colleagues: Mr Parmeshwar Vitthal Gavande, Mrs. Khusboo Lepcha, Mrs. Vijeta Rai, Mrs. Deepika Mazumdar, Mr. Ayan Kumar Mahanty, Ms. Puja BK, Ms. Satarupa Mallick, Ms. Taniya Dey, Ms. Smriti Pradhan, Ms. Preeti Mangar, Ms. Ankita Dutta, Mr. Subhojit Sen, Ms. Chandana Basak, Mr. Partha Barman, Ms. Divya Limbu and Mrs. Nitya Rai for their moral support.

I would also like to thank the Non-teaching Staff of the Department of Biotechnology, University of North Bengal, West Bengal, and the Technical Staff of the University Science Instrumentation Centre (USIC), University of North Bengal, West Bengal, and the Technical Staff of SciGenom Labs Pvt Ltd, Kakkanad, Kerala, for their assistance.

This endeavour would not have been possible without my parents, siblings, husband and in-laws. This journey has been long and their faith in me had lifted my spirits and kept me motivated.

I bow to the Heavenly Father for without his love and blessings this knowledge would remain incomplete.

Thanks to everyone,

Arijita Basak
10/8/2023

Arijita Basak

LIST OF TABLES

	Chapter 1	Page no.
Table 1.1	Agroresidues and their chemical composition	7
Table 1.2	CAZymes from GH families and their characteristics	12
Table 1.3	Thermophilic bacteria used in saccharification	25
Table 1.4	Cellulase encoding genes of thermophilic bacteria	31
	Chapter 3	
Table 3.1	Morphological analysis of lignocellulolytic bacteria isolated from RSV consortium	69
Table 3.2	Genus designations of bacteria isolated from RSV consortium as determined by BLAST analysis	69
Table 3.3	Results of RSM-CCRD and ANN-GA for the statistical optimization of cellulase production by STC	79
Table 3.4	ANOVA analysis of the RSM-CCRD model for the optimization of cellulase production parameters by STC	80
Table 3.5	Validation model for RSM-CCRD and ANN-GA for cellulase production of STC	84
Table 3.6	Solutions from OFAT, RSM-CCRD and ANN-GA on cellulase production parameters of STC	87
	Chapter 4	
Table 4.1	Results of ANN-GA for the statistical optimization of cellulase production parameters by <i>P.thermoglucoasidarius</i> NBCB1	102
Table 4.2	Validation model of ANN-GA for cellulase production by <i>P.thermoglucoasidarius</i> NBCB1	103
Table 4.3	Solutions from OFAT and ANN-GA on cellulase production parameters by <i>P.thermoglucoasidarius</i> NBCB1	103
Table 4.4	Purification of PtCel1 from <i>P.thermoglucoasidarius</i> NBCB1	105

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page no.	
Chapter 1		
Fig. 1.1	Structural organization of lignocellulosic biomass	5
Fig. 1.2	Lignocellulosic biomass structural components (a) cellulose, (b) hemicellulose and (c) lignin	7
Fig. 1.3	Classification of Carbohydrate Active Enzymes (CAZymes) by the CAZy database	8
Fig. 1.4	Generalized mechanisms for enzymatic glycoside hydrolysis: (a) inverting GHs and (b) retaining GHs. Figures in brackets are oxocarbenium ion-like transition states	11
Fig. 1.5	Pretreatments of lignocellulosic biomass	19
Chapter 2		
Fig. 2.1	(a) Greenwaste Vermicomposting Facility (COFAM), University of North Bengal (b) Red Wiggler earthworms (<i>Eisenia fetida</i>)	35
Fig. 2.2	Standard curves of (a) Glucose (b) pNP (c) Xylose (d) BSA	38
Fig. 2.3	Agarose well diffusion assay of RSV consortium on CMC and birchwood xylan	43
Fig. 2.4	Production of cellulolytic and xylanolytic GHs by RSV at different pH and temperature	44
Fig. 2.5	(a) Saccharification of rice straw by extracellular enzyme concentrate of RSV (b) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis of pretreated RS samples before and after saccharification with EEC at 1000 X magnification	45
Fig. 2.6	Agarose gel electrophoresis of total genomic DNA of RSV consortium	46
Fig. 2.7	Taxonomic profiling of RSV consortium at (a) phylum and (b) species levels	47
Fig. 2.8	Distribution profile of (a) KEGG and (b) SEED assigned proteins in the RSV consortium	47
Fig. 2.9	Distribution of lignocellulose depolymerizing CAZyme encoding ORFs in RSV consortium (a) Relative abundance of ORFs encoding GH, GT, CE, AA, CBM and PL (b) Circular chord diagram representing the distribution of ORFs encoding GH	49
Fig. 2.10	Phylogenetic distribution of CAZyme encoding ORFs in RSV consortium (a) Distribution of ORFs encoding lignocellulolytic CAZymes among the bacterial phyla (b) Relative abundance of ORFs encoding known GH, CE, AA from different CAZyme families among the bacterial phyla	50
Fig. 2.11	Heat map of the distribution of lignocellulolytic enzymes of GH and AA families among the bacterial genera present in RSV	51
Chapter 3		
Fig. 3.1	Qualitative screening of the bacteria isolated RSV consortium (a) Cellulase production by CCB1 (1), CCB2 (2), CCB3 (3), NBCB1 (4), NBCB2 (5), RAC (6), XLN1 (7), XLN2 (8) (b) Lignin peroxidase production by B4	68

Fig. 3.2	Quantitative screening of cellulolytic bacteria through cellulase assays on various substrates, Avicel for exoglucanase, CMC for endoglucanase and pNPG for β -glucosidase	68
Fig. 3.3	SEM analysis of the isolates (a) NBCB1 (b) XLN1 (c) B4	68
Fig. 3.4	Phylogenetic analysis of bacteria isolated from RSV consortium (a) <i>Parageobacillus thermoglucosidasius</i> NBCB1 (b) <i>Parageobacillus thermoglucosidasius</i> NBCB2 (c) <i>Aeribacillus composti</i> XLN1 (d) <i>Geobacillus thermodenitrificans</i> XLN2 (e) <i>Geobacillus</i> sp CCB1 (f) <i>Aeribacillus</i> sp CCB2 (g) <i>Geobacillus thermodenitrificans</i> CCB3 (h) <i>Geobacillus</i> sp RAC. Highlighted sequences are those of isolates. Scale bars given below indicate genetic distance	70
Fig. 3.5	Growth curve of <i>P.thermoglucosidasius</i> NBCB1, <i>A.composti</i> XLN1 and <i>M.yunnanensis</i> B4	74
Fig. 3.6	Synergistic studies on lignocellulolytic isolates (a) Effect of SLB in MSM-RS media on cellulase activities of cellulolytic isolates (b) Effect of SLB in MSM-RS media on ligninolytic activity of ligninolytic isolate (c) Effect of SLB in reaction mix of cellulase activities of cellulolytic isolates (d) Testing of different culture combinations for the preparation of synthetic consortium	76
Fig. 3.7	OFAT-based optimization of cellulase production by STC (a) pH (b) Carbon source (c) D-sorbitol concentration (d) Nitrogen source (e) Peptone concentration (f) Inoculum proportions (NBCB1:XLN1:B4)	78
Fig. 3.8	RSM plots for the RSM-CCRD model for the optimization of cellulase production parameters by STC (a) AB (b) AC (c) AD (d) BC (e) BD (f) CD	83
Fig. 3.9	Diagnostic plots for the RSM-CCRD model for cellulase production optimization by STC (a) Normal plot (b) Residual vs predicted (c) Residual vs run (d) Predicted vs actual values.	84
Fig. 3.10	Results of neural networking on cellulase production by STC (a) Performance plot (b) Error histogram (c) Regression plot (d) ANOVA analysis	86
Fig. 3.11	Saccharification potential of STC (a) Saccharification of pretreated RS (b) Estimation of the lignin, MCC and AC contents in the pretreated and saccharified RS	88
Fig. 3.12	SEM analysis of pretreated RS, pretreated RS after saccharification by LC and pretreated RS after saccharification by CC	89

Chapter 4

Fig. 4.1	Optimization of cellulase production by <i>P. thermoglucosidasius</i> NBCB1 by OFAT (a) Incubation period, (b) pH, (c) Carbon source, (d) Nitrogen source, (e) Proportion of starter culture, (f) Agitation speed	101
Fig. 4.2	Optimization of cellulase production through neural networking (a) Performance plot, (b) Error histogram, (c) Regression plot (d) ANOVA analysis	104
Fig. 4.3	Purification of cellulase produced by <i>P. thermoglucosidasius</i> NBCB1: Lane1-CFS, Lane 2-Ammonium sulphate precipitation, Lane3-Gel filtration, Lane 4-Anion exchange chromatography	105
Fig. 4.4	Biochemical characterization of purified PtCell1 (a) pH optima and stability, (b) temperature optima and stability, (c) effect of inhibitors and additives, (d) Lineweaver-Burk plot for enzyme kinetics, (e) Effect of metal chlorides	107

- Fig. 4.5 Restriction digestion of plasmid containing *PtCell* gene from *P. thermoglucosidasius* NBCB1: Lane M: Bench Top 1 kb DNA ladder (Promega), Lane 1: pMD20-T vector (2.736 kb) and *PtCell* gene (1.089 kb) 108
- Fig. 4.6 Multiple sequence alignment with cellulases from closely related bacteria in GenBank: Endoglucanase of *Parageobacillus thermantarcticus* (SFA39192.1), cellulase of *Parageobacillus thermoglucosidasius* C56-YS93 (AEH46921.1), cellulase of *Parageobacillus* genomosp 1 (EZP76424.1), Endoglucanase of *Geobacillus* sp. C56-T2 (TWG31480.1), cellulase of *Geobacillus* sp. C56-T3 (ADI25824.1), cellulase of *Geobacillus* sp. Y412MC52 (ADU95126.1), Endoglucanase M of *Geobacillus* sp. WSUCF1 (EPR27003.1); highlighted regions represent residues forming the active site, blue arrows represent the metal binding site/conserved HDEEDH motif and the yellow box represents a coil 109

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Title
A	Relative abundance of contigs among different phyla in RSV consortium
B	Relative abundance of contigs among different genera in RSV consortium
C	Relative abundance of CAZyme-encoding ORFs among different genera in RSV consortium
D	List of Abbreviations
E	Thesis related publications and Abstracts