

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Particulars	Page
3.1	Site characteristics of different land-use/covers selected for study	42
3.2	Bulk density (g cm^{-3}) of different land-use/covers with depth	43
3.3	Soil nutrient status (%) in different land-use/covers. Means in each column with different letters denote significant difference at ($P < 0.05$, $n=3$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	44
4.1	Area under different land-use/cover and change detection of the Mamlay watershed based on remote sensing data, 1988-2001	66
4.2	Area under different land-use/cover on micro watershed level of the Mamlay watershed based on remote sensing data, 1988	67
4.3	Area under different land-use/cover on micro watershed level of the Mamlay watershed based on remote sensing data, 2001	68
4.4	Land-use/cover change detection on micro watershed level of the Mamlay watershed based on remote sensing data, 1988-2001	69
4.5	Estimation of density, basal area and productivity in different land-use/cover of the Mamlay watershed	70
4.6	Annual litter production, floor litter and humus content of different land-use/covers	74
4.7	Per household consumption of fuel wood, fodder and timber in the Mamlay watershed	75
5.1	Carbon loss (tons) through sediment in different streams water of Mamlay watershed	96
5.2	Soluble carbon (tons) loss through different streams water of Mamlay watershed	97
5.3	Seasonal and yearly soil loss (tons) estimated using discharge and sediment concentration of micro and total watershed	98
5.4	Overland flow (% of rainfall) and soil loss (kg ha^{-1}) in different land-use/covers of Mamlay watershed	99
5.5	Partitioning of incident precipitation into various pathways in different land-use/covers of Mamlay watershed ($n=6$). Values in parentheses are $\pm se$. Means with same superscript in each row are not significantly different to each other at $P < 0.05$ (Tukey's honestly	100

	significant difference test)	
5.6	Carbon content (mg l^{-1}) in throughfall, stemflow and floor leachate in forests and agroforestry land-uses of Mamlay watershed	101
6.1	Carbon concentration in plant materials of different land-use/cover	125
6.2	Plant carbon allocation (tC ha^{-1}) in different land-use/cover	127
6.3	Carbon storage (tC ha^{-1}) in vegetation of different land-use/cover	128
6.4	Carbon mass (tC ha^{-1}) in floor litter and humus of different forests and agroforestry systems	129
6.5	Per cent total carbon distribution in relation to (a) land-use/cover pattern and (b) depths. Values with different letters in superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$, $n=3$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	130
6.6	Depth-wise total carbon and organic carbon (tC ha^{-1}) storage in soils of different land-use/covers.	131
6.7	Per cent organic carbon in relation to (a) land-use/cover pattern and (b) depths. Values with different letters in superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$, $n=3$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	132
6.8	Seasonal variation in soil organic carbon of different land-use/covers	133
6.9	Microbial biomass carbon in (a) land-use/cover types and (b) seasons. Values with different letters in superscript differ significantly ($P < 0.05$, $n=3$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	134
6.10	Carbon (kg ha^{-1}) in soils and microbial biomass of different land-use/covers	135
6.11	Seasonal fluctuation in microbial biomass carbon (tC ha^{-1}) in different land-use/covers.	136
6.12	Area weighted total stand carbon in the Mamlay watershed. Values are in ($\times 10^3 \text{ tC}$)	137
6.13	Per cent decline of carbon in other land-use/covers compared to temperate natural forest dense	138
7.1	Carbon input through net primary production ($\text{tC ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) in different land-use/covers. Different superscript letters in each column represent significant difference ($P < 0.05$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	171

7.2	Turnover time (years) and turnover rate of carbon in the standing vegetation of different land-use/covers. Values are pooled for three site replicates	172
7.3	Carbon entry into litter through annual litter production and release through respiration and decomposition. Different superscript letters represent significant difference ($P<0.05$) (Tukey's honestly significant difference test)	173
7.4	Turnover time (years) and turnover rate of carbon on different floor litter in the different land-use/covers	174
7.5	Estimated turnover time of soil carbon based on mean carbon pool top 1 m of soil and mean soil respiration rates	175
7.6	Flux of carbon through the microbial biomass in different land-use/covers	176
7.7	Carbon removal out of the system through harvest flux	177
7.8	Vegetation C, soil C, litter C, humus C and total C injected into the atmosphere due to land-use/cover change during 1988-2001	178
7.9	Area, total carbon and average carbon per hectare in forests of the Mamlay watershed	179
8.1	Carbon storage and carbon dynamics of different land-use/cover in Mamlay watershed of Sikkim Himalaya	201
8.2	Model-simulated value of carbon ($tC\ ha^{-1}$) in different land-use/cover of the Mamlay watershed	202