

Dedicated to my beloved Father

Shri. Deepak Kumar Das

*Baba your unconditional support and faith is the ultimate
moral boosting power that helped me throughout my journey*

You are the supporting pillar in my life

Thank you for all

Declaration

I, Dipayan Das hereby declare that the work embodied in my Ph.D thesis entitled "APPLICATION OF NANO SILVER AND SILVER IONS IN PRESERVATION OF MULBERRY LEAVES AND THEIR UTILIZATION IN SILKWORM REARING SYSTEM" has been carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Palash Mandal Department of Botany, University of North Bengal for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Botany. I also declare that, this thesis or any part thereof has not been submitted for any other degree/diploma either to this or other University.

Date: 27.09.2021

Place: Department of Botany,
University of North Bengal
Siliguri- 734013


[Dipayan Das]



ENLIGHTENMENT
TO PERFECTION

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH BENGAL

ACCREDITED BY NAAC WITH GRADE A

Department of Botany

Raja Rammohunpur, Siliguri – 734013, West Bengal, India

Visit us at:



www.nbu.ac.in

Dr. Palash Mandal; Ph: +91- 9434886123; E-mail: pmandalbotppprl@nbu.ac.in


TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that the thesis entitled, “APPLICATION OF NANO SILVER AND SILVER IONS IN PRESERVATION OF MULBERRY LEAVES AND THEIR UTILIZATION IN SILKWORM REARING SYSTEM” submitted by Mr. Dipayan Das for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Botany is based on the results of experiments carried out by him. He has worked under my supervision at Department of Botany, University of North Bengal. I am forwarding his thesis for the Ph.D. degree (Science) in Botany of the University of North Bengal. He has fulfilled all requirements according to the rules of the University of North Bengal regarding the works embodied in his thesis. This thesis or any part thereof has not been submitted for any other degree/Diploma either to this or other University.

He bears good moral character and I wish him best for his future.

Date: 27th Sept., 2021

Place: Department of Botany,
University of North Bengal
Siliguri- 734013


Palash Mandal
(Dr. Palash Mandal)









Supervisor


Dr. PALASH MANDAL
Dept. of Botany
University of North Bengal


Document Information

Analyzed document	Dipayan Das_Botany.pdf (D112679864)
Submitted	9/16/2021 8:40:00 AM
Submitted by	University of North Bengal
Submitter email	nbuplg@nbu.ac.in
Similarity	1%
Analysis address	nbuplg.nbu@analysis.arkund.com

Sources included in the report

W	URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC166603/ Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 2
W	URL: http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/cfINTRO.html Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 2
W	URL: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/bbm%253A978-0-8176-8286-6%252F1.pdf Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 1
W	URL: https://www.missouristate.edu/Assets/assessment/annual_report_CNAS_2016_Geography_Geology_Planning.pdf Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 2
W	URL: https://www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/TairObject%253Ftype%253Dlocus%2526name%253DAt2g01330 Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 8
W	URL: https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/Q9M2C4 Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 5
W	URL: https://www.uniprot.org/docs/arath.txt Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 35
W	URL: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/825312 Fetched: 9/17/2021 12:10:00 AM	 5


Dipayan Das
Department of Botany
University of North Bengal


Palash Mandal
Dr. Palash Mandal
(Supervisor)
Department of Botany
University of North Bengal

Acknowledgement

At the virtue of completing my Ph.D work, when I look back, I find that in the background continuous help and support of lot of faces persist, whom I like to recognize as moral boosting power in completing my dissertation work.

Firstly, I would like to explicit my cordial gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Palash Mandal for his continuous support and motivation in completing my Ph.D dissertation and related research works. His guidance and assistance helped me throughout the period of research and writing of this thesis. Whenever I faced challenges, he was next to me and sometime one step forward in tackling the problems. From the depth of my heart I would like to state that I could not have imagined a better mentor than him as Ph.D supervisor.

I would like to express my heartiest gratitude to, Head of the Department of Botany Dr. Manoranjan Chowdhury, University of North Bengal for allowing me to access different facilities of the Department, alongside his continuous support and motivation.

I would also like to express my warm-hearted gratitude to all the faculty members of Department of Botany, University of North Bengal namely Prof. Aniruddha Saha, Prof. Arnab Sen, Prof. Subhas Chandra Roy, Dr. Jnan Bikash Bhandari, Dr. Piyush Mathur, Dr. Swarnendu Roy for their support and motivation.

I would also like to extend my thanks to all the non-teaching staff of the Department of Botany, University of North Bengal for their kind support time to time.

I would like to thank Late (Dr.) Madhur Pramanik, whom I recognize as an Acharya, helped me to learn the basic of bioscience in my post-school days, consolidating my base towards this branch of science.

I am extending my regards to Dr. Kailash Choudhary who helped me to consolidate my base in higher order biology which eventually helped me to summarize the outcome of my research work.

My work would have been incomplete without constant help and assistance from Directorate of Textiles (Sericulture), Govt. of West Bengal, Matigara Sericulture Complex and Naxalbari Sericulture Complex. I would like to convey my heartiest thanks to Mr. Manab Bhowmick and all other members of sericulture department for extending their helping hand time to time in my entire research tenure. I am extremely thankful to Mr. Saurabh Majumdar, Scientist-D, RSTRS, Central Silk Board for helping me by analyzing the cocoons for post cocoon parameters.

I would also like to thank SAIF (IIT Bombay), SAIF (Cochin University of Science and Technology) and USIC (University of North Bengal) for assisting me while conducting various instrumental analyses.

I am thankful to University Grants Commission for providing me the research fellowship under UGC-NET JRF and UGC-NET SRF scheme.

Working in a wet-lab, I realized that the ultimate thing was team work without which one cannot complete the dissertation work. I am extremely thankful to my laboratory seniors from whom I have learned the initial steps in research namely Ms. Arunika Subba, Dr. Sumira Mukhia, Dr. Saran Kumar Gupta, Mr. Sujoy Sen and Mr. Priyankar Roy. I express my gratitude towards my younger brothers and sisters in my laboratory namely Md. Salman Haydar, Ms. Divya Chauhan, Mr. Kushankur Sarkar, Mr. Swarup Singha Roy, Ms. Deboshmita Ghosh and Ms. Surovi Ghosh for extending their helping hands.

I convey my special thanks to Dr. Prasanjit Chakraborty, Former Research Scholar, Department of Botany, University of North Bengal; Dr. Raja Ghosh, Former Research Scholar, Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal; Mr. Subhrajyoti Bagchi, State Aided College Teacher, Department of Computer Science and Applications, Malda College and Mr. Arindam Ghosh, Researcher, Institute of Biomedicine, University of Eastern Finland for extending their unconditional help.

I express my gratitude, love and regards to all of my friends and friend like seniors namely Mr. Indrajit Singha, Ms. Tanushree Sarkar, Mr. Asit Ray, Ms. Puja Sashankar, Dr. Krishna Jaiswal, Mr. Ujjal Roy, Mr. Buddhadev Sarkar, Mr. Shahid Sarkar, Mr. Subhro Sarkar, Dr. Sibhu Das and Mr. Pankaj Shil who stood behind me, specifically in my difficult time throughout my journey. I would like to emphasize the unconditional extended help of Mr. Indrajit Singha (Indra) who provided me space to sleep and food to eat on numerous occasions during my research tenure when I worked night long in my laboratory.

I would like to thank Dr. Payel Paul for her moral support and valuable suggestions throughout my research tenure, especially during early days when the path was bit difficult to walk alone. Being a true friend, her blind faith in me has motivated me to take challenges in life, some of them has really paid off.

Last but not the least, I would like to express my gratitude towards my parents (Sri. Deepak Kumar Das and Smt. Debjani Das) whose continuous support, love and blessings has encouraged me to extend my carrier in the field of science and research. I would also like to express my love and affection towards my brother (Mr. Debraj Das) who stood behind me all the times. I am also thankful to my in-laws (Sri. Debabrata Paul and Smt. Mridula Paul) for their support and blessings. At the end I would like to recall the memories of my grandparents [Late (Sri.) Bhupendra Nath Das, Late (Smt.) Santi Bala Das, Late (Sri) Ananda Kishor Ghosh and Late (Smt.) Namita Ghosh], the persons whose morality towards life has influenced me the most from my childhood.



[Dipayan Das]

September, 2021

Department of Botany
University of North Bengal

List of Tables

Table 1: Vanya silk production in India for the year 2015 to 2019

Table 2: Indigenous silkworm races in India

Table 3: Different hybrid lines of silkworm developed and reared in India

Table 4: Mulberry silk production in India for the year 2015 to 2019

Table 5: Algae mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles

Table 6: Fungi mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles

Table 7: Bacteria mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles

Table 8: Plants mediated synthesis of silver nanoparticles

Table 9: Foliar macro morphological data of all the studied cultivar of mulberry

Table 10: Quantitative stomatal attributes and its associated cells of six mulberry cultivars under study based on light microscopy

Table 11: Major and minor venation pattern in lamina of studied cultivar of mulberry based on light microscopy

Table 12: Variation in trichome and idioblast attributes of six mulberry cultivars under study

Table 13: ANOVA analysis representing the significance level among different parameters under study

Table 14: Variation in crystalline size, dislocation density, inter planar spacing, lattice constant and cell volume of synthesized nanoparticles

Table 15: IC50 value of silver nanoparticles, plant extract with respect to standards

Table 16: Antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles against tested microorganisms

Table 17: Estimation of chlorophyll content of leaves preserved in nanosilver solution prepared at different concentration scale

Table 18: Estimation of chlorophyll content of leaves preserved in nanosilver solution prepared at different pH scale

Table 19: Estimation of chlorophyll content of leaves preserved in nanosilver solution prepared at different temperature scale

Table 20: Tabular representation displaying total chlorophyll content of S1 genotype mulberry leaves preserved for 7 days in three different dilutions of 10^{-3} M silver nanoparticles

Table 21: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to different primary metabolite content at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 22: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to stress accumulation at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 23: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to antioxidant activity at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 24: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to enzymatic antioxidant activity at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 25: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to non-enzymatic antioxidant activity at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 26: General Linear Model representing significance level among days of preservation with respect to secondary metabolites activity at post harvest stage of preservation (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 27: Differentially expressed nanosilver preserved mulberry leaf proteins identified by OHR-LCMS analysis

Table 28: Enrichment analysis of string identified mulberry leaf proteins through KEGG pathway

Table 29: CFU count of preservative solutions at different days of preservation

Table 30: Diameter of xylem lumen in five genotype of mulberry leaves under study

Table 31: Average number of blocked vessels detected on staining with Bradford reagent at post preservation stage

Table 32: Average number of blocked vessels detected on staining with Azure B at post preservation stage

Table 33: Average number of blocked vessels detected on staining with Phloroglucinol-HCl at post preservation stage

Table 34: Average number of blocked vessels detected on staining with Sudan IV at post preservation stage

Table 35: Squared cosines of preservative condition for each principal component (PC1 – PC2)

Table 36: Sequencing statistics of mulberry transcriptome

Table 37: Summary of paired-end RNA sequences of preserved mulberry leaves

Table 38: Summary of assembled transcript

Table 39: Contigs summary based on all trinity transcript and unigenes

Table 40: Category wise allocation of predicted SSRs

Table 41: Repeat motif based distribution of identified SSRs

Table 42: Frequency summary of SSRs with different numbers of tandem repeats

Table 43: Summary of differentially expressed isoforms and unigenes

Table 44: Annotation of up-regulated genes with respect to *Arabidopsis* database

Table 45: Annotation of down-regulated genes with respect to *Arabidopsis* database

Table 46: STRING mediated GO identified up-regulated biological process (BP), gene count under BP and determination of BP scoring

Table 47: STRING mediated GO identified up-regulated cellular component (CC), gene count under CC and determination of CC scoring

Table 48: STRING mediated GO identified up-regulated molecular function (MF), gene count under MF and determination of MF scoring

Table 49: STRING mediated GO identified down-regulated biological process (BP), gene count under BP and determination of BP scoring

Table 50: STRING mediated GO identified down-regulated cellular component (CC), gene count under CC and determination of CC scoring

Table 51: STRING mediated GO identified down-regulated molecular function (MF), gene count under MF and determination of MF scoring

Table 52: GO based gene scoring of STRING selected up-regulated genes

Table 53: Average percentile rank of GO based gene scoring of STRING selected up-regulated genes

Table 54: GO based gene scoring of STRING selected down-regulated genes

Table 55: Average percentile rank of GO based gene scoring of STRING selected down-regulated genes

Table 56: Cytoscape mediated topological scoring of up-regulated genes

Table 57: Cytoscape mediated topological scoring of down-regulated genes

Table 58: Average percentile ranking of Cytoscape mediated topologically selected genes and scoring parameters of up-regulated genes

Table 59: Average percentile ranking of Cytoscape mediated topologically selected genes and scoring parameters of down-regulated genes

Table 60: GO based BiNGO mediated study of up-regulated differentially expressed transcripts

Table 61: GO based BiNGO mediated study of down-regulated differentially expressed transcripts

Table 62: Description of BiNGO networking nodal terms for up-regulated genes

Table 63: Description of BiNGO networking nodal terms for down-regulated genes

Table 64: Primer sequences for RT-PCR amplification

Table 65: Rearing parameters of silkworm larvae fed with preserved mulberry leaves

Table 66: General Linear Model representing significance level among preservative solutions with respect to rearing parameters obtained by feeding larvae with preserved leaves (Based on estimated marginal means, “*” denotes mean difference is significant at 0.05 level and “b” stands for adjustment for multiple comparisons according to Bonferroni)

Table 67: Post cocoon parameters analysis of cocoon produced from larvae fed with fresh (A), nanosilver (B) and silver nitrate (D) preserved leaves

Table 68: Differentially expressed silk gland protein of larvae supplemented with nanosilver preserved mulberry leaves identified by OHR-LCMS analysis

Table 69: Statistics summary of multiple regression analysis keeping chlorophyll constant

Table 70: Statistics summary of multiple regression analysis keeping protein constant

List of Figures

Fig. 1: State wise distribution map of different forms of silk in India

Fig. 2: Graphical representation of mulberry plantation (ha) in India for the year 2015 to 2019

Fig. 3: Major mulberry, tasar, eri and muga silk distribution zones in West Bengal, India

Fig. 4: Sequential steps for green synthesis of silver nanoparticles

Fig. 5: Geographical map of North Bengal and Darjeeling district

Fig. 6: Blocks of Siliguri Sub-division

Fig. 7: Soil distribution pattern of Siliguri Sub-division

Fig. 8: Drainage system of Siliguri Sub-division

Fig. 9: Average monthly temperature (a), rainfall (b) and humidity (c) of Siliguri sub-divisional region under Darjeeling district of West Bengal, India for the period of 2016 – 2020

Fig. 10: Google Map representation demarcating areas of sample collection in the present study

Fig. 11: (1-5) Schematic diagram representing sequential steps involve in post harvest preservation of mulberry leaves

Fig. 12: (1-9) Schematic diagram representing sequential steps involve in phytosynthesis of silver nanoparticles

Fig. 13: Dissected silkworm larvae displaying internal body parts

Fig. 14: Isolated different body part of silkworm larvae obtained after dissection

Fig. 15: Light microscopic plates displaying nature of stomata in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 16: Scanning Electron Microscopic plates displaying nature of stomata in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 17: Illustration of venation pattern in mulberry cultivars under study (a) S1635, (b) TR10, (c) S1 and (d) BC259

Fig. 18: Illustration of venation pattern in mulberry cultivars under study (a) V1 and (b) Guangdong

Fig. 19: Light microscopic plates displaying nature of glandular trichomes in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 20: Scanning Electron Microscopic plates displaying nature of glandular trichomes in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 21: Light microscopic plates displaying nature of non-glandular trichomes in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 22: Scanning Electron Microscopic plates displaying nature of non-glandular trichomes in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 23: Light microscopic plates displaying nature of idioblast in all the cultivars of mulberry under study viz. S1635 (a), TR10 (b), S1 (c), BC259 (d), V1 (e) and Guangdong (f)

Fig. 24: Pearson correlation coefficient matrix of different micro- and macro-morphological traits under study

Fig. 25: Principal component analysis of six mulberry cultivars and different micro- and macro-morphological traits under study (Yellow dots represents mulberry cultivars, while green, orange, red, violet and blue dots represents laminar, trichome, stomatal, venation and idioblast attributes respectively)

Fig. 26: Dendrogram representing similarity clusters of six mulberry genotype under study with respect to overall parameters

Fig. 27: Pictorial view representing physical condition of mulberry leaves preserved for 7 days in nine different preservative solutions. (a-b) 1D and 7D distilled water preserved leaves; (c-f) 0.1, 1, 5, 10 mM indole acetic acid; (g-j) 10^{-6} , 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-3} M kinitin; (k-m) 0.05 mM, 10^{-4} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} M benzyl adenine; (o-r) 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1 mM gibberellic acid; (s-v) 0.1, 0.5, 1, 2 mM salicylic acid; (w-z) 200, 400, 600, 800 ppm putricine; (aa-ad) 0.05, 0.01, 0.1, 1 mM sodium nitroprusside; (ae-ah) 10, 50, 100, 150 ppm silver nitrate; (ai-al) 50, 100, 200, 300 μ M silver thiosulphate preserved leaves respectively

Fig. 28: Effect of preservation on total chlorophyll content of mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect

to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 29: Effect of preservation on total protein content of mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 30: Effect of preservation on total sugar content of mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 31: Effect of preservation on reducing sugar content of mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 32: Effect of preservation on superoxide accumulation within mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 33: Effect of preservation on hydrogen peroxide accumulation within mulberry leaves preserved with different preservative solutions. The effect of preservation was compared with respect to fresh leaves (Cont 1D) and seven day distilled water preserved leaves (Cont 7D). The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 34: Colour transformation of silver nitrate solution during the course of preservation. (a) Transparent silver nitrate solution; (b) silver nitrate solution after 2-3 days of preservation; (c) silver nitrate solution after 4-5 days of preservation and (d) UV-Visible Spectrophotometric observation of silver nitrate solution after colour transformation

Fig. 35: Biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by mulberry leaf extract and silver nitrate solution

Fig. 36: UV–Visible spectral analysis of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 37: FT-IR spectra of (a) mulberry leaf extract and (b) phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 38: (a) SEM and (b) FESEM micrograph of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 39: (a) EDX spectra and (b) elemental profile of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 40: (a) TEM micrograph of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles and (b) particle size distribution

Fig. 41: (a) HR-TEM micrograph of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles and (b) particle size distribution

Fig. 42: XRD pattern of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 43: SAED image of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 44: DLS size distribution pattern (a) and zeta potential (b) of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles

Fig. 45: UV–Visible spectra demonstrating time kinetics of nanosilver formation as a function absorbance and wavelength in the range of 300–800 nm

Fig. 46: DPPH and ABTS scavenging activity of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles in comparison with plant extract and respective standards (Results are expressed as Mean \pm STDEV of triplicate determinations. Values with different letters (a, b, c, etc.) differ significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) by Duncan's Multiple Range Test)

Fig. 47: Nitricoxide and Superoxide scavenging activity of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles in comparison with plant extract and respective standards (Results are expressed as Mean \pm STDEV of triplicate determinations. Values with different letters (a, b, c, etc.) differ significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) by Duncan's Multiple Range Test)

Fig. 48: Metal chelating activity of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles in comparison with plant extract and respective standards (Results are expressed as Mean \pm STDEV of triplicate determinations. Values with different letters (a, b, c, etc.) differ significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) by Duncan's Multiple Range Test)

Fig. 49: Antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles at seven different concentrations (I – 500, II – 400, III – 300, IV – 200, V – 100, VI – 50, VII – 25 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) against (A-B) *Bacillus megaterium*, (C-D) *Staphylococcus aureus*, (E-F) *Bacillus subtilis*, (G-H) *Escherichia coli* and (I-J) *Salmonella typhimurium*

Fig. 50: Minimum inhibitory concentration of silver nanoparticles against tested microorganisms (Gram Negative)

Fig. 51: Minimum inhibitory concentration of silver nanoparticles against tested microorganisms (Gram Positive)

Fig. 52: Biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by mulberry leaf extract at different concentration of silver nitrate

Fig. 53: UV–Visible spectra of silver nanoparticles synthesized at different concentration of silver nitrate

Fig. 54: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) representing particle size distribution as a function of percent intensity of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different concentration of silver nitrate *viz.* 10^{-1} M (A), 10^{-2} M (B) and 10^{-3} M (C) silver nitrate

Fig. 55: Zeta potential showcasing stability of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different concentration of silver nitrate *viz.* 10^{-2} M (A) and 10^{-3} M (B) silver nitrate

Fig. 56: Transmission electron micrograph (i) and size distribution pattern (ii) of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different concentration of silver nitrate *viz.* 10^{-1} M (A), 10^{-2} M (B), 10^{-3} M (C), 10^{-4} M (D) and 10^{-5} M (E) silver nitrate

Fig. 57: Biogenic synthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by varying volume of mulberry leaf extract

Fig. 58: UV–Visible spectra of silver nanoparticles synthesized at different volume of leaf extract

Fig. 59: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) representing particle size distribution as a function of percent intensity of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying volume of plant extract, (A-E) 2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 ml plant extract respectively

Fig. 60: Zeta potential demonstrating stability of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying volume of plant extract, (A-E) 2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 ml plant extract respectively

Fig. 61: Transmission electron micrograph (i) and size distribution pattern (ii) of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying volume of plant extract, (A-E) 2.5, 5, 10, 15 and 20 ml plant extract respectively

Fig. 62: Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles at different proportions of plant extract to silver nitrate

Fig. 63: UV-Visible spectral observation of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different proportions of plant extract to silver nitrate

Fig. 64: Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using leaf extract of S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry

Fig. 65: UV–Visible spectral analysis of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles using leaf extract of S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry

Fig. 66: Impact of sunlight (67000 lux), diffuse light (230 lux) and dark (0 lux) over phytosynthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by fresh extract of mulberry leaves

Fig. 67: UV–Visible spectra showing plasmon peaks of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different light intensity *viz.* 67000, 230 and 0 lux

Fig. 68: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) representing particle size distribution as a function of percent intensity of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different light intensity *viz.* sunlight (A) 67000 lux, diffuse light (B) 230 lux and dark (C) 0 lux

Fig. 69: Zeta potential showcasing stability of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different light intensity *viz.* sunlight (A) 67000 lux, diffuse light (B) 230 lux and dark (C) 0 lux

Fig. 70: Transmission electron micrograph (i) and size distribution pattern (ii) of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different light intensity *viz.* sunlight (A) 67000 lux, diffuse light (B) 230 lux and dark (C) 0 lux

Fig. 71: Impact of pH variation of plant extract on phytosynthesis of silver nanoparticles

Fig. 72: UV–Visible spectra showing plasmon peaks of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying pH of plant extract

Fig. 73: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) representing particle size distribution as a function of percent intensity of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying pH of plant extract, (A-E) pH 2, 5, 7, 9 and 12 respectively

Fig. 74: Zeta potential demonstrating stability of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying pH of plant extract, (A-E) pH 2, 5, 7, 9 and 12 respectively

Fig. 75: Transmission electron micrograph (i) and size distribution pattern (ii) of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying pH of plant extract, (A-C) pH 7, 9 and 12 respectively

Fig. 76: Impact of temperature variation on phytosynthesis of silver nanoparticles

Fig. 77: UV–Visible spectra showing plasmon peaks of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized at different temperature range *viz.* 0, 10, 25, 50 and 80°C.

Fig. 78: Dynamic light scattering (DLS) representing particle size distribution as a function of percent intensity of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized at different temperature range, (A-E) 0, 10, 25, 50 and 80°C respectively

Fig. 79: Zeta potential indicating stability of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized at different temperature range, (A-E) 0, 10, 25, 50 and 80°C respectively

Fig. 80: Transmission electron micrograph (i) and size distribution pattern (ii) of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different temperature range, (A-E) 0, 10, 25, 50 and 80°C respectively

Fig. 81: Long term stability assessment as a function of plasmon peak deformation through UV-Vis spectrophotometer of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying concentration of silver nitrate, (A-F) spectral reading at an interval of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 days respectively

Fig. 82: Long term stability assessment as a function of plasmon peak deformation through UV-Vis spectrophotometer of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying volume of plant extract, (A-F) spectral reading at an interval of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 days respectively

Fig. 83: Long term stability assessment as a function of plasmon peak deformation through UV-Vis spectrophotometer of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized at different proportion of plant extract to silver nitrate, (A-F) spectral reading at an interval of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 days respectively

Fig. 84: Long term stability assessment as a function of plasmon peak deformation through UV-Vis spectrophotometer of silver nanoparticles phytosynthesized by varying pH of plant extract, (A-F) spectral reading at an interval of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 days respectively

Fig. 85: Long term stability assessment as a function of plasmon peak deformation through UV-Vis spectrophotometer of phytosynthesized silver nanoparticles at different temperature range, (A-F) spectral reading at an interval of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 days respectively

Fig. 86: Physical condition of mulberry leaves preserved in nanosilver solution phytosynthesized at different concentration of silver nitrate

Fig. 87: Physical condition of mulberry leaves preserved in nanosilver solution phytosynthesized with mulberry leaf extract adjusted to pH 2, 5, 7, 9 and 12

Fig. 88: Physical condition of mulberry leaves preserved in nanosilver solution phytosynthesized at different temperature range *viz.* 0, 10, 25, 50 and 80°C

Fig. 89: Pictorial view representing physical condition of S1 genotype mulberry leaves preserved for 7 days in three different dilutions of 10^{-3} M silver nanoparticles *viz.* 10x (A), 20x (B) and 40x (C)

Fig. 90: Physical changes in mulberry leaves with increase in days of preservation (left to right: day 1 to day 7). Leaves were preserved in (a) Control (distilled water); (b) Nanosilver; (c) Silver nitrate solution

Fig. 91: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) total chlorophyll, (B) total protein, (C,D) total and reducing sugar and (E) proline content. Effect of preservative solutions on mulberry leaves

was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 92: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) hydrogen peroxide, (B) superoxide and (C) malondialdehyde content. Effect of preservative solutions on stress content of mulberry leaves was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 93: Two tailed Pearson correlation representing interrelationship among the post-preservative quality detecting parameters and rearing parameters

Fig. 94: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, (B) 2,2-azino-bis 3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid, (C) superoxide, (D) nitric oxide and (E) metal chelating activity. Effect of preservative solutions on antioxidant activity of mulberry leaves was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. Smaller the IC50 value more was the antioxidant activity. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 95: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) superoxide dismutase, (B) catalase, (C) ascorbate peroxidase, (D) glutathione S-transferase, (E) glutathione peroxidase and (F) glutathione disulfide reductase content. Effect of preservative solutions on antioxidant enzymatic activity of mulberry leaves was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. Larger the content, greater was the defensive activity, less was the stress accumulation. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 96: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) carotenoids, (B) ascorbic acid and (C) total glutathione content. Effect of preservative solutions on non-enzymatic antioxidant activity of mulberry leaves was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 97: Effect of preservation of S1 genotype of mulberry leaves with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (A) total phenol, (B) ortho-dihydric phenol and (C) flavonoid content. Effect of preservative solutions on secondary metabolite content of mulberry leaves was observed at regular interval of 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 98: SDS gel portrait and scanned image of protein bands in nanosilver preserved mulberry leaves (1 to 7 denotes Day 1 to Day 7)

Fig. 99: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of protein bands in nanosilver preserved mulberry leaves from day 1 to day 7

Fig. 100: SDS gel portrait and scanned image of protein bands in distilled water preserved mulberry leaves (1 to 7 denotes Day 1 to Day 7)

Fig. 101: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of protein bands in distilled water preserved mulberry leaves from day 1 to day 7

Fig. 102: SDS gel portrait and scanned image of protein bands in silver nitrate preserved mulberry leaves (1 to 7 denotes Day 1 to Day 7)

Fig. 103: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of protein bands in silver nitrate preserved mulberry leaves from day 1 to day 7

Fig. 104: SDS gel portrait and scanned image of protein bands in seven day preserved mulberry leaves (1&2: Fresh; 3&4: Distilled water; 5&6: Nano silver; 7&8: Silver nitrate)

Fig. 105: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of protein bands in seven day preserved mulberry leaves

Fig. 106: OHR-LCMS spectra of differentially expressed SDS band obtained from protein of 7 day post harvest mulberry leaves preserved in nanosilver solution

Fig. 107: STRING analysis representing protein-protein interaction of differentially expressed mulberry leaves proteins obtained from OHR-LCMS analysis

Fig. 108: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing NADPH oxidase activity of (1-2) fresh leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in (3-4) distilled water, (5-6) nanosilver and (7-8) silver nitrate solution

Fig. 109: Graphical representation depicting relative density of NADPH oxidase activity of fresh mulberry leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 110: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing superoxide dismutase activity of (1-2) fresh leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in (3-4) distilled water, (5-6) nanosilver and (7-8) silver nitrate solution

Fig. 111: Graphical representation depicting relative density of superoxide dismutase activity of fresh mulberry leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 112: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing catalase activity of (1-2) fresh leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in (3-4) distilled water, (5-6) nanosilver and (7-8) silver nitrate solution

Fig. 113: Graphical representation depicting relative density of catalase activity of fresh mulberry leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 114: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing peroxidase activity of (1-2) fresh leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in (3-4) distilled water, (5-6) nanosilver and (7-8) silver nitrate solution

Fig. 115: Graphical representation depicting relative density of peroxidase activity of fresh mulberry leaves and 7 days preserved mulberry leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 116: Nutrient agar plates representing antimicrobial activity of preservative solutions at different days of preservation: **IA-IG** CFU count of distilled water used as preservative from day 1 to day 7; **IIA-IIG** CFU count of silver nitrate solution used as preservative from day 1 to day 7; **IIIA-IIIG** CFU count of nanosilver solution used as preservative from day 1 to day 7

Fig. 117: Pictorial view representing physical condition of S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype mulberry leaves preserved for 7 days in preservative solutions: **I** fresh leaves; **II**, **III** and **IV** represents 7 day preserved leaves in nanosilver, silver nitrate and distilled water respectively

Fig. 118: Total chlorophyll content in leaves of five mulberry cultivars, preserved with 6 ppm nanosilver solution (**A**), 6 ppm silver nitrate solution (**B**) and distilled water (**C**) for seven days in comparison to initial day

Fig. 119: Total protein content in leaves of five mulberry cultivars, preserved with 6 ppm nanosilver solution (**A**), 6 ppm silver nitrate solution (**B**) and distilled water (**C**) for seven days in comparison to initial day

Fig. 120: Total sugar content in leaves of five mulberry cultivars, preserved with 6 ppm nanosilver solution (**A**), 6 ppm silver nitrate solution (**B**) and distilled water (**C**) for seven days in comparison to initial day

Fig. 121: Transverse section of the petioles of mulberry leaf under light (**A**) and electron (**B**) microscope indicating different layers from outside to inside as star mark: trichome, CU:

cuticle, EP: epidermis, CO: collenchyma, P: parenchyma, ID: idioblast, PH: phloem, MX: metaxylem, PX: protoxylem, PI: pith

Fig. 122: Histochemical identification of protein components in xylem occlusions by Bradford reagent in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 123: Histochemical identification of lignins in xylem occlusions by Azure B in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 124: Histochemical identification of lignins in xylem occlusions by Phloroglucinol–HCl in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 125: Histochemical identification of suberins in xylem occlusions by Sudan IV in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 126: Scanning electron micrograph showing xylem occlusions in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 127: Histochemical detection of hydrogen peroxide localization by potassium iodide and starch solution in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 128: Histochemical detection of plasma membrane integrity by Evan's blue in petiole of mulberry leaves: (A) fresh leaves, (B) 7 day distilled water preserved leaves; (C) 7 day

nanosilver preserved leaves, (D) 7 day silver nitrate preserved leaves; I – V represents S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves used for preservation respectively

Fig. 129: Score plot analysis of principal components demonstrating vascular blockage preventing nature of distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution as preservative, in preserving S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves with respect to fresh leaves of each genotype

Fig. 130: Agglomerative hierarchical clustering representing vascular blockage preventing nature of distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution as preservative, in preserving S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong genotype of mulberry leaves with respect to fresh leaves of each genotype

Fig. 131: Heat map colour matrix representing inter-relationship between preservative solutions (vertical axis) with the nature of blockage pattern detected by staining five genotypes of mulberry (S1, S1635, TR10, BC259 and Guangdong) with histochemical stains (horizontal axis) after 7 days of preservation

Fig. 132: Overview of transcriptome assembly through sequence length distribution between assembled transcripts and unigenes

Fig. 133: Comparative histogram of GC range distribution between assembled transcripts and unigenes

Fig. 134: NCBI Nr database mediated unigene homology search, (A) E-value proportional frequency distribution of BLAST hits against the Nr database and (B) proportional similarity distribution of BLAST hits against the Nr database

Fig. 135: PMN database mediated unigene homology search, (A) E-value proportional frequency distribution of BLAST hits against the PMN database and (B) proportional similarity distribution of BLAST hits against the PMN database

Fig. 136: Top hits organism distribution of transcriptome annotation with plantNR database

Fig. 137: Top hits organism distribution of transcriptome annotation with PMN database

Fig. 138: Length distribution of identified SSRs

Fig. 139: Violin plot representing the \log_2 FoldChanges of differentially expressed up-regulated and down-regulated (A) isoforms and (B) unigenes. The value within the violin indicates average \log_2 FoldChange

Fig. 140: The volcano maps showing differentially expressed up-regulated and down-regulated (A) isoforms and (B) unigenes. Green dots represent up-regulated genes while red dots represent down-regulated genes ($p < 0.05$)

Fig. 141: Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed top 5% up-regulated isoforms in relation to NS7 vs CO7. The higher score with different colour represents the higher level of expression

Fig. 142: Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed top 5% up-regulated unigenes in relation to NS7 vs CO7. The higher score with different colour represents the higher level of expression

Fig. 143: Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed top 5% down-regulated isoforms in relation to NS7 vs CO7. The higher score with different colour represents the higher level of expression

Fig. 144: Hierarchical clustering of differentially expressed top 5% down-regulated unigenes in relation to NS7 vs CO7. The higher score with different colour represents the higher level of expression

Fig. 145: Category wise distribution of differentially expressed up-regulated genes on annotation with *Arabidopsis* database

Fig. 146: Category wise distribution of differentially expressed down-regulated genes on annotation with *Arabidopsis* database

Fig. 147: STRING analysis of differentially expressed up-regulated genes obtained after annotation with *Arabidopsis* database using Mercator and Uniport. STRING network contains 441 node connected by 4651 edges having PPI enrichment of $p < 1.0e-16$

Fig. 148: STRING analysis of differentially expressed down-regulated genes obtained after annotation with *Arabidopsis* database using Mercator and Uniport. STRING network contains 572 node connected by 4541 edges having PPI enrichment of $p < 1.0e-16$

Fig. 149: Gene Ontology classification of top differentially expressed up-regulated genes with respect to biological process (BP1), cellular component (CC1) and molecular function (MF1) obtained from STRING analysis

Fig. 150: Enzyme Class classification of differentially expressed up-regulated genes

Fig. 151: Gene Ontology classification of top differentially expressed down-regulated genes with respect to biological process (BP1), cellular component (CC1) and molecular function (MF1) obtained from STRING analysis

Fig. 152: Enzyme Class classification of differentially expressed down-regulated genes

Fig. 153: STRING second round analysis of selected up-regulated unigenes those are found to be significantly enriched from round one GO analysis. STRING network contains 71 node connected by 298 edges having PPI enrichment of $p < 1.0e-16$

Fig. 154: STRING second round analysis of selected down-regulated unigenes those are found to be significantly enriched from round one GO analysis. STRING network contains 82 node connected by 216 edges having PPI enrichment of $p < 1.0e-16$

Fig. 155: Topological networking of STRING (second round) generated up-regulated gene interaction data using cytoscape platform. Cytoscape connected 65 nodes with network density and homogeneity of 0.143 and 0.613 respectively, with characteristic path length of 2.480 and average number of neighbours of 9.169

Fig. 156: Topological networking of STRING (second round) generated down-regulated gene interaction data using cytoscape platform. Cytoscape connected 74 nodes with network density and homogeneity of 0.080 and 0.690 respectively, with characteristic path length of 2.785 and average number of neighbours of 5.835

Fig. 157: Expression profile of topologically selected top up-regulated genes

Fig. 158: Functional sub-networking of highly interconnected nodes (up-regulated genes) using MCODE. Networks were built considering node density and node score cut-off value of 0.1, 0.2 respectively, with kappa-core value of 2

Fig. 159: Expression profile of topologically selected top down-regulated genes

Fig. 160: Functional sub-networking of highly interconnected nodes (down-regulated genes) using MCODE. Networks were built considering node density and node score cut-off value of 0.1, 0.2 respectively, with kappa-core value of 2

Fig. 161: Gene ontological enrichment analysis of topologically selected up-regulated genes based on biological process using BiNGO considering *Arabidopsis* as model organism. Hypergeometric test was conducted, considering p-value cut off ≤ 0.05 . Size of the node was proportional to the number of gene (transcripts) present under a particular nodal category. Node colour shades were according to the significance level where white represents no significant differences, yellow and green colour shade represents significance level at $p = 0.05$ and < 0.0000005 respectively. (Description of node against node number was given in Table 62)

Fig. 162: Gene ontological enrichment analysis of topologically selected down-regulated genes based on biological process using BiNGO considering *Arabidopsis* as model organism. Hypergeometric test was conducted, considering p-value cut off ≤ 0.05 . Size of the node was proportional to the number of gene (transcripts) present under a particular

nodal category. Node colour shades were according to the significance level where white represents no significant differences, green and blue colour shade represents significance level at $p = 0.05$ and <0.0000005 respectively. (Description of node against node number was given in Table 63)

Fig. 163: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) classification of top differentially expressed (up-regulated) genes. The genes were enlisted at the top and the colour pattern (green shades) indicates the involvement of a particular gene in a particular KEGG pathway

Fig. 164: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) classification of top differentially expressed (down-regulated) genes. The genes were enlisted at the top and the colour pattern (blue shades) indicates the involvement of a particular gene in a particular KEGG pathway

Fig. 165: Validation of key differentially expressed up-regulated genes using qRT-PCR analysis. The y-axis indicates relative quantification of the genes and the studied genes were indicated in the x-axis. Error bar indicates the value of standard deviation (\pm SD)

Fig. 166: Validation of key differentially expressed down-regulated genes using qRT-PCR analysis. The y-axis indicates relative quantification of the genes and the studied genes were indicated in the x-axis. Error bar indicates the value of standard deviation (\pm SD)

Fig. 167: Rearing pattern of silkworm larvae

Fig. 168: Average growth rate of larvae fed with fresh and preserved mulberry leaves

Fig. 169: Cocoon spinned by larvae after feeding with fresh mulberry leaves (**a**) and leaves preserved in distilled water (**b**), silver nitrate (**c**) and nanosilver (**d**) solution

Fig. 170: Effect of feeding S1 genotype of mulberry leaves, preserved with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (**A**) growth index and (**B**) single cocoon weight. Silkworm larvae were fed with leaves preserved for 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, $n=3$

Fig. 171: Effect of feeding S1 genotype of mulberry leaves, preserved with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (**A**) single shell weight and (**B**) shell ratio. Silkworm larvae were fed with leaves preserved for 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, $n=3$

Fig. 172: Effect of feeding S1 genotype of mulberry leaves, preserved with distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate on (**A**) effective rearing rate and (**B**) mortality rate. Silkworm

larvae were fed with leaves preserved for 0D, 1D, 4D, 6D and 7D. The results were expressed as Mean \pm SDEV, n= 3

Fig. 173: (a) SDS gel portrait and (b) scanned image of silk gland protein obtained from larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate preserved leaves respectively

Fig. 174: (a-c) Graphical representation depicting relative density of silk gland proteins obtained from SDS PAGE analysis. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 175: (a) SDS gel portrait and (b) scanned image of haemolymph protein obtained from larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate preserved leaves respectively

Fig. 176: (a-c) Graphical representation depicting relative density of haemolymph proteins obtained from SDS PAGE analysis. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 177: (a) SDS gel portrait and (b) scanned image of stomach protein obtained from larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate preserved leaves respectively

Fig. 178: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of stomach proteins obtained from SDS PAGE analysis. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 179: (a) SDS gel portrait and (b) scanned image of fat body associated protein obtained from larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate preserved leaves

Fig. 180: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of fat body associated proteins obtained from SDS PAGE analysis. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 181: OHR-LCMS spectra of differentially expressed SDS band obtained from silk gland of larvae fed with nanosilver preserved leaves

Fig. 182: STRING analysis representing protein-protein interaction of differentially expressed silk gland proteins obtained from OHR-LCMS analysis

Fig. 183: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing NADPH oxidase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) leaves preserved in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution respectively for 7 days

Fig. 184: (a-b) Graphical representation depicting relative density of NADPH oxidase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with fresh leaves and 7 day preserved leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution respectively. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 185: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing superoxide dismutase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) leaves preserved in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution respectively for 7days

Fig. 186: Graphical representation depicting relative density of superoxide dismutase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with fresh leaves and 7 day preserved leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 187: On-gel (a) image and (b) scanned photograph showcasing catalase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with (1) fresh leaves, (2-4) leaves preserved in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution respectively for 7days

Fig. 188: Graphical representation depicting relative density of catalase activity inside silk gland of silkworm larvae fed with fresh leaves and 7 day preserved leaves in distilled water, nanosilver and silver nitrate solution respectively. Numerical (1, 2, 3,...) at the top of graphical bars denotes band numbers

Fig. 189: Probable mechanism of action leading to post harvest shelf life extension in nanosilver preserved mulberry leaves

Fig. 190: Diagrammatic model of post harvest vascular blockage and its inhibition through nanosilver application

List of Appendices

10. A. Appendix-1:	Chemicals
10. B. Appendix-2:	Abbreviations & Symbols
10. C. Appendix-3:	Index
10. D. Appendix-4:	List of Publications
10. E. Appendix-5:	Reprints