

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

<b>Serial No.</b>	<b>Legends</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 1.1	Male Blood Pheasant in the field.	7-7
Figure 1.2	Female Blood Pheasant in the field.	8-8
Figure 1.3	Global geographical distribution of Blood Pheasant (Source: BirdLife International, 2017).	9-9
Figure 1.4	Male Himalayan Monal in the field.	10-10
Figure 1.5	Female Himalayan Monal in the field.	11-11
Figure 1.6	Global geographical distribution of Himalayan Monal (Source: BirdLife International, 2017).	11-11
Figure 1.7	Male Kalij Pheasant in the field.	12-12
Figure 1.8	Female Kalij Pheasant in the field.	13-13
Figure 1.9	Global geographical distribution of Kalij Pheasant (Source: BirdLife International, 2017).	14-14
Figure 1.10	Male Satyr Tragopan in the field.	15-15
Figure 1.11	Female Satyr Tragopan in the field.	16-16
Figure 1.12	Global geographical distribution of Satyr Tragopan (Source: BirdLife International, 2017).	16-16
Figure 2.1	Studies on pheasants in the native areas and non-native areas, based on available literature.	22-22
Figure 2.2	Temporal pattern of publications on pheasants collected from the worldwide sources.	23-23
Figure 2.3	Percentage of studies on different aspects of pheasants in non-native areas [Status survey & habitat studies (SS&HS), Population studies (PS), Behaviour (BH), Diet & Feeding (D&F), and Management & Conservation (M&C)] based on available literature.	26-26
Figure 2.4	Percentage of studies on different aspects of pheasant in native areas [Status survey & habitat studies (SS&HS), Population studies (PS), Behaviour (BH), Diet & Feeding (D&F), and Management & Conservation (M&C)], based on available literature.	29-29
Figure 3.1	Digital elevation model of Eastern Himalaya.	42-42
Figure 3.2	Digital elevation model of Sikkim Himalaya.	44-44
Figure 3.3	Digital elevation model of Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (1 depicts Yuksom-Black Kabru (Dome) transect and 2 depicts Thoulng-Kisong transect of the study area).	47-47

## List of Figures

---

Figure 3.4	SDM of Blood Pheasant depicts threshold class value, <0.10 as a very low potential habitat suitability, 0.10-0.30 as a low potential habitat suitability, 0.30-0.50 as a moderate potential habitat suitability, 0.50-0.70 as a high potential habitat suitability and >0.70 as a very high potential suitability in Eastern Himalaya (A), Sikkim (B) and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (C).	52-52
Figure 3.5	Area of potential threshold class of Blood Pheasant in Eastern Himalaya, Sikkim and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (KBR).	55-55
Figure 3.6	SDM of Himalayan Monal depicts threshold class value, <0.10 as a very low potential habitat suitability, 0.10-0.30 as a low potential habitat suitability, 0.30-0.50 as a moderate potential habitat suitability, 0.50-0.70 as a high potential habitat suitability and >0.70 as a very high potential suitability in Eastern Himalaya (A), Sikkim (B) and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (C).	55-55
Figure 3.7	Area of potential threshold class of Himalayan Monal in Eastern Himalaya, Sikkim and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (KBR).	58-58
Figure 3.8	SDM of Kalij Pheasant depicts threshold class value, <0.10 as a very low potential habitat suitability, 0.10-0.30 as a low potential habitat suitability, 0.30-0.50 as a moderate potential habitat suitability, 0.50-0.70 as a high potential habitat suitability and >0.70 as a very high potential suitability in Eastern Himalaya (A), Sikkim (B) and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (C).	58-58
Figure 3.9	Area of potential threshold class of Kalij Pheasant in Eastern Himalaya, Sikkim and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (KBR).	61-61
Figure 3.10	SDM of Satyr Tragopan depicts threshold class value, <0.10 as a very low potential habitat suitability, 0.10-0.30 as a low potential habitat suitability, 0.30-0.50 as a moderate potential habitat suitability, 0.50-0.70 as a high potential habitat suitability and >0.70 as a very high potential suitability in Eastern Himalaya (A), Sikkim (B) and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (C).	61-61

## List of Figures

---

Figure 3.11	Area of potential threshold class of Satyr Tragopan in Eastern Himalaya, Sikkim and Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve (KBR).	64-64
Figure 4.1	Percentage of tree and shrub in Yuksom-Black Kabru transect of the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	77-77
Figure 4.2	Family wise distributions of woody species along Yuksom-Black Kabru Dome transect, Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	78-78
Figure 4.3	Abundance in a hectare of woody species along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	80-80
Figure 4.4	Basal area in a hectare of woody species along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	81-81
Figure 4.5.	Abundance and basal area in a hectare of scrubs species in the alpine along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	81-81
Figure 4.6	Species Richness Index vs. altitude along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	82-82
Figure 4.7	Diversity index vs. altitude along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	83-83
Figure 4.8	Index of Dominance vs. altitude along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	84-84
Figure 4.9	Species Evenness Index vs. altitude along transect of Yuksom-Black Kabru in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	84-84
Figure 4.10	Cluster Analysis showing the similarity group among the study sites (Yuksom-Black Kabru transect) in the KBR.	85-85
Figure 4.11	Estimated (Choa 1 and Jackknife 1) species richness of the woody taxa along in Yuksom-Black Kabru (Dome) transect of the KBR.	86-86
Figure 4.12	Truncated Log-Normal distribution pattern of woody taxa of Yuksom-Black Kabru (Dome) transect in the KBR.	87-87
Figure 4.13	Percentage of tree and shrub in Tholung – Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	90-90

## List of Figures

---

Figure 4.14	Family wise distributions of woody species along Thulong-Kisong transect, Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	91-91
Figure 4.15	Abundance in a hectare of woody species along transect of Thulong-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	92-92
Figure 4.16	Basal area in a hectare of woody species along transect of Thulong-Kisong in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	93-93
Figure 4.17	Abundance and basal area in a hectare of scrubs species in the alpine along transect of Tholung-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	93-93
Figure 4.18	Species Richness Index vs. altitude along transect of Thulong-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	94-94
Figure 4.19	Diversity Index vs. altitude along transect of Thulong-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	95-95
Figure 4.20	Index of Dominance vs. altitude along transect of Thulong-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	96-96
Figure 4.21	Species Evenness Index vs. altitude along transect of Thulong-Kisong transect in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	96-96
Figure 4.22	Cluster Analysis showing the similarity group among the study sites (Tholung-Kisong transect) in the KBR.	97-97
Figure 4.23	Estimated (Choa 1 and Jackknife 1) species richness of the woody taxa along in Thulong-Kisong transect of the KBR.	98-98
Figure 4.24	Truncated Log- Normal distribution model of woody taxa of Thulong-Kisong transect in the KBR.	99-99
Figure 4.25	Dominant communities of woody species in the transects based on IVI (Important Value Index) in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	100-100
Figure 4.26	Annual mean temperature and Annual mean precipitation (2013) of the study area in the Khanchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	100-100
Figure 4.27	Annual Actual Evapotranspiration, Potential Evapo-Transpiration (2013), and Annual Moisture Index along with the altitudinal gradient of the study area in the KBR.	101-101

## List of Figures

---

Figure 5.1	The best fit detection function model generated by DISTANCES 0.7 analyses considering all Blood Pheasant in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve during the study period (2014-2017).	114-114
Figure 5.2	The best fit detection function model generated by DISTANCES 0.7 analyses considering all Himalayan Monal in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve during the study period (2014-2017).	117-117
Figure 5.3	The best fit detection function model generated by DISTANCE analysis considering all Kalij Pheasant in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve during the study period (2014-2017).	119-119
Figure 5.4	The best fit detection function model generated by DISTANCE analysis considering all the Satyr Tragopan in Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve during the study period (2014-2017).	120-120
Figure 6.1	Future climate change impact on distribution of the Blood Pheasant in the Eastern Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP 2.6, 'B' depicts RCP 4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	142-142
Figure 6.2	Future climate change impact on Blood Pheasant in the Sikkim Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP 2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	144-144
Figure 6.3	Future climate change impact on Blood Pheasant in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	145-145
Figure 6.4	Future climate change impact on Himalayan Monal in the Eastern Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	147-147
Figure 6.5	Future climate change impact on Himalayan Monal in the Sikkim Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	149-149
Figure 6.6	Future climate change impact on Himalayan Monal in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere; A depicts RCP2.6, B depicts RCP4.5, C depicts RCP6.0 and D depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	150-150

## List of Figures

---

Figure 6.7	Future climate change impact on Kalij Pheasant in the Eastern Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	151-151
Figure 6.8	Future climate change impact on Kalij Pheasant in the Sikkim Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	153-153
Figure 6.9	Future climate change impact on Kalij Pheasant in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	154-154
Figure 6.10	Future climate change impact on distribution of the Satyr Tragopan in the Eastern Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP-4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	156-156
Figure 6.11	Future climate change impact on distribution of the Satyr Tragopan in the Sikkim Himalayas; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP-6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	157-157
Figure 6.12	Future climate change impact on distribution of the Satyr Tragopan in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve; 'A' depicts RCP2.6, 'B' depicts RCP4.5, 'C' depicts RCP6.0 and 'D' depicts RCP8.5 respectively.	159-159
Figure 6.13	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Blood Pheasant in the Eastern Himalayas.	160-160
Figure 6.14	Gain and loss in sq.km of suitable habitat of Himalayan Monal in the Eastern Himalayas.	162-162
Figure 6.15	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Kalij Pheasant in Eastern Himalayas.	164-164
Figure 6.16	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Satyr Tragopan in Eastern Himalaya.	166-166
Figure 6.17	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Blood Pheasant in the Sikkim Himalayas.	168-168
Figure 6.18	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Himalayan Monal in the Sikkim Himalayas.	170-170
Figure 6.19	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Kalij Pheasant in the Sikkim Himalayas.	172-172
Figure 6.20	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Satyr Tragopan in the Sikkim Himalayas.	174-174
Figure 6.21	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Blood Pheasant in the KBR.	176-176

## List of Figures

---

Figure 6.22	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Himalayan Monal in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	178-178
Figure 6.23	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Kalij Pheasant in the KBR	180-180
Figure 6.24	Gain and loss in sq. km of suitable habitat of Satyr Tragopan in the Khangchendzonga Biosphere Reserve.	183-183
Figure 6.25	Centroid change of Blood Pheasant in the Eastern Himalayas (grey lines depict protected areas).	185-185
Figure 6.26	Centroid change of Himalayan Monal in the Eastern Himalayas.	186-186
Figure 6.27	Centroid change of Kalij Pheasant in the Eastern Himalayas.	188-188
Figure 6.28	Centroid change of Satyr Tragopan in the Eastern Himalayas.	189-189
Figure 6.29	The present status of availability of the Himalayan Pheasant in the KBR based on perception of the local people.	200-200
Figure 6.30	Availability of the Himalayan pheasants compared to the 10-20 years back based on perception of the local people.	201-201
Figure 6.31	Poaching/hunting of the Himalayan pheasants in present scenario based on perception of the local people.	202-202
Figure 6.32	Maximum pressure on the Himalayan pheasants during the last decades based on perception of the local people.	202-202
Figure 6.33	Experience of the temperature in the local premise based on perception of the local people.	203-203
Figure 6.34	Experience of the climate change in the local premise based on perception of the local people.	204-204
Figure 6.35	Range shift of Himalayan pheasants based on perception of the local people.	204-204
Figure 6.36	Factor of range shift of Himalayan pheasants in KBR based on the local perception.	205-205
Figure 6.37	Phenology shift of Himalayan pheasants based on perception of the local people.	205-205
Figure 6.38	Food habit of the Himalayan pheasants in KBR, as per respondents' perception.	207-207
Figure 6.39	Predator of the Himalayan pheasants in KBR, as per respondents' perception.	208-208
Figure 6.40	Uses of Himalayan pheasants in past decades, as per respondents' perception.	209-209