

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure No</u>	<u>Figure Legends</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Figure 1.1:	Culture plates showing growth of major Bacillus spp. on HBA media	18
Figure 1.2:	Photographs of experiments on bacterial competition and chemotaxis. A- Culture flasks showing growth of major <i>Bacillus</i> spp. in coelom mimicking broth. B- Chemotaxis assay.	25
Figure 1.3:	Prevalence of the four most abundant bacilli present in cow dung that	31
Figure 1.4:	Prevalence of the dominant bacilli present in the	32
Figure 1.5:	Pie chart showing microbial load in coelomic fluid of <i>E. fetida</i> incubated at 25°C with processed cow dung (100 animal's kg ⁻¹).	34
Figure 1.6:	Quantities of three dominant bacilli, <i>B. cereus</i> , <i>B. megaterium</i> , and <i>B. pumilus</i> in CF when coelom of <i>E. fetida</i> was injected with laboratory grown <i>B. thuringiensis</i> cells	36
Figure 1.7:	Bacilli present in coelomic fluid of <i>E. fetida</i> assessed	38
Figure 1.8:	Growth of pure cultures (of CF isolates) in Synthetic Coelomic-Fluid	40
Figure 1.9:	Rate of accumulation of bacteria in capillaries containing coelomic fluid of <i>E. Fetida</i>	43
Figure 1.10:	Total bacilli count and concentration of riboflavin in the coelomic fluid of <i>E. fetida</i> after 12h and 24h of levofloxacin injection in comparison to the control animals without antibiotic treatment	44
Figure 1.11:	Riboflavin secretion by pure cultures of three CF isolates (<i>B. cereus</i> CF01, <i>B. megaterium</i> CF05, and <i>B. pumilus</i> CF07) and one PCD isolate (absent in CF), <i>B. coagulans</i> PCD01, in SCF medium (n=3).	45
Figure 1.12:	Phylogenetic tree constructed by the neighbour-joining method based on 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the phylogenetic relationship between strain ET03 ^T and closely related species	50
Figure 1.13:	Molecular Phylogenetic analysis of EPG1 ^T by Maximum Likelihood method	51
Figure 1.14:	Evolutionary relationships of strain EAG2 ^T .	53
Figure 1.15:	Evolutionary relationships of strain EAG3 ^T .	54
Figure 1.16:	Electron micrographs of log phase cultures of the bacterial strains isolated from the gut (A-T) and coelomic fluid (U-Y) parts of <i>E. Fetida</i>	55
Figure 1.17:	Evolutionary relationships of strain EAG3 ^T	56
Figure 1.18:	Electron micrographs of log phase cultures of the bacterial strains isolated from the gut (A-T) and coelomic fluid (U-Y) parts of <i>E. fetida</i> .	66
Figure 2.1:	QC of DNA in 1% agarose gel	76
Figure 2.2:	Bioanalyzer profile of Library on HS DNA chip	77
Figure 2.3:	Taxonomic View of the Metagenome	78
Figure 2.4:	Taxonomic view of RC metagenome at phylum level. The size of the circle is scaled logarithmically to represent the number of contigs assigned directly to the phylum.	79
Figure 2.5:	SEED analysis of contigs formed by RC sample. The size of the circle is scaled logarithmically to represent the number of contigs assigned directly to the SEED category.	81
Figure 2.6:	KEGG analysis of RC Contigs. The size of the circle is scaled logarithmically to represent the number of reads assigned directly to the KEGG pathway	82
Figure 2.7:	COG analysis of RC Contigs. The size of the circle is scaled logarithmically to represent the number of contigs assigned directly to the COG ortholog group.	83
Figure 2.8:	COG analysis of RC Contigs. The size of the circle is scaled logarithmically to represent the number of contigs assigned directly to the COG ortholog group.	84
Figure 2.9:	Sequence breakdown of RC	85
Figure 2.10:	Analysis Flowchart of RC.	86
Figure 2.11:	Kmer profiles of RC taking sequence size in X-axis and Kmer coverage in Y-axis.	87
Figure 2.12:	Source hit distribution of RC. The bar represent the e-value range from -3 to -30 or less, indicating that sequences with lower e-value are having significant matches with the functional databases	88
Figure 2.13:	COG Functional Category Hits Distribution	88
Figure 2.14:	KO Functional Category Hits Distribution	89
Figure 2.15:	NOG Functional Category Hits Distribution	89
Figure 2.16:	Subsystems Functional Category Hits Distribution	90
Figure 2.17:	Taxonomic hits distribution at domain level of RC that contains maximum hits from bacteria followed by eukaryote	90
Figure 2.18:	Taxonomic hits distribution at phylum level of RC.	91

Figure 2.19: Taxonomic hits distribution at class level of RC.	91
Figure 2.20: Taxonomic hits distribution at order level of RC	92
Figure 2.21: Taxonomic hits distribution at family level of RC	92
Figure 2.22: Taxonomic hits distribution at genus level of RC	93
Figure 2.23: Rank abundance plot of RC.	94
Figure 2.24: Rarefaction curve of RC. Rarefaction plot showing a curve of annotated species richness.	95
Figure 2.25: RC-Sequence length histogram in base pairs of raw data	95
Figure 2.26: RC-Sequence length histogram in base pairs of QC filtered data	96
Figure 2.27: RC-Sequence GC distribution of raw data	96
Figure 2.28: RC-Sequence GC distribution of QC filtered data.	97
Figure 2.29: Phylogenetic relationship of strain EPG1 ^T (<i>Mycobacterium omicsense</i> , sp. nov.)	102
Figure 2.30: Phylogenetic relationship of strain EAG3 ^T (<i>Pradoshia cofami</i> , gen. nov., sp. nov.) and closely related genomes.	113
Figure 2.31: WEGO plots of the four strains. A- ET03, B-EPG1, C-EAG2and D-EAG3	115
Figure 3.1: Microscopic observations during Total count (A) and Differential count (B) of coelomocytes of <i>E. fetida</i>	128
Figure 3.2: Differential count of coelomocytes in <i>B. thuringiensis</i> challenged <i>E. fetida</i> at different time intervals	129
Figure 3.3: Bar graph showing percentage of coelomocytes with phagocytic inclusion bodies	130
Figure 3.4: Photographic plates of giemsa stained coelomocytes from <i>B. thuringiensis</i> challenged <i>E. fetida</i> at different time intervals.	131
Figure 3.5: plates of gluteraldehyde fixed coelomocytes from <i>E. fetida</i>	132
Figure 3.6: Time lapse analysis of total protein contents in coelomic fluid and in the coelomocytes of <i>B. thuringiensis</i> challenged and control <i>E. fetida</i>	133
Figure 3.7: Dynamics of indigenous <i>Bacillus</i> species in coelomic fluid of <i>E. fetida</i> under challenge by <i>B. thuringiensis</i>	134
Figure 3.8: Dynamics of indigenous <i>Bacillus</i> species in coelomic fluid of <i>E. fetida</i> under challenge by <i>B. Thuringiensis</i>	135
Figure 3.9: Isolated RNA from the Experimental (Ex) and Control (Cn) samples, run on on 1% denatured Agarose gel shows mRNA smears below the 23S and 16S bands.	136
Figure 3.10: KO analysis of the Control (CN_CDS) and experimental (EX_CDS) CDS in MG-RAST	137
Figure 3.11: WEGO Plot for Cn Sample	138
Figure 3.12: WEGO Plot for Ex Sample	139
Figure 3.13: Heat map of the top 50 differentially expressed genes	140
Figure 3.14: Scatterplot of differentially expressed genes	141
Figure 3.15: Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes	141
Figure 3.16: Mediators of Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling pathway	142
Figure 3.17: Mediators of RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway	143
Figure 3.18: Mediators of RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway	144
Figure 4.1: Schematic drawing of an adult <i>E. fetida</i> showing regions of transverse amputation	149
Figure 4.2: Photographs taken during different phases of <i>E. fetida</i> regeneration experiment	152
Figure 4.3: Survival (%) of the amputated <i>E. fetida</i> in respect to days post amputation. (a)Anterior parts (b)Posterior parts	153
Figure 4.4: Body weight (g) of the amputated <i>E. fetida</i> in respect to days post amputation. (a) anterior parts (b) posterior parts.	153
Figure 4.5: Histological preparations of tissues in regenerating region of A4 amputee up to 11 days post amputation and corresponding regions from control <i>E. fetida</i>	154
Figure 4.6 Dynamics of indigenous <i>Bacillus</i> species in coelomic fluid at different intervals	157
Figure 4.7: Differential count (DC) of coelomocytes at different intervals (at day1, 3, 7 and 11), from the survived body part (A4) of <i>E. fetida</i>	158
Figure 4.8: Bar graph showing percentage of coelomocytes with phagocytic inclusion bodies	159
Figure DS-1: Contribution of gut microbes of <i>E. fetida</i> on Nitrogen metabolism pathway/ Nitrogen cycle.	162
Figure DS-2: Participation of gut microbes of <i>E. fetida</i> in sulfur metabolic pathway.	163
Figure DS-3: Influence of gut microbes of <i>E. fetida</i> on methane metabolic pathway.	164