

List of Figures

	Page#
Figure 1. Plant species in India and Indian herbal medicine (IHM) (Pan <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	2
Figure 2. Schematic representation showing the free radical generation followed by the chain of by-product (ROS/RNS) formed due to oxidative stress and how they affect biological systems by cellular stress and even leading to carcinogenesis.	3
Figure 3. Schematic representation showing the free radical generation followed by the chain of by-product (ROS/RNS) formed due to oxidative stress and how they affect biological systems by cellular stress and even leading to different types of mental disorders.	4
Figure 4. Schematic representation showing the free radical generation followed by the chain of by-product (ROS/RNS) formed due to oxidative stress and how they affect biological systems by cellular stress and CCl ₄ induced hepatotoxicity. The pathway demonstrates the mechanism of CCl ₄ induced hepatotoxicity which is predominantly mediated by oxidative stress and inflammatory injury due to the formation of reactive metabolic intermediates and the free radical formation cascade during xenobiotic induced hepatotoxicity causing oxidative and nitrosative stress.	6
Figure 5. Schematic representation of cytokine interactions during inflammation (GeneCopoeia, 2001).	10
Figure 6. Picture of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> .	15
Figure 7. Worldwide distribution of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (Discover Life)	16
Figure 8. Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> .	48
Figure 9. Fourier transform infrared spectra of CBLE.	52
Figure 10. Photomicrograph of formation of plaques in the PFC assay. Antibody secreting cell in the centre, surrounded by sRBC lysis zone, formed due to IgM-complement complex. Photomicrograph (40 X) of viable murine splenic lymphocytes visualized using Trypan Blue staining.	53
Figure 11. A. Increase in number of plaques in the PFC assay. B. Effect of CBLE on splenic lymphocyte viability. C. Dose dependent increase in total IgM expression. D. Correlation between PFC and IgM. [Where, R ² = coefficient of determination. N.S. = non-significant (P≥0.05), *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001 versus group 1 (control) and α = P≤0.05 and δ = non-significant (P≥0.05) compared to the 0 µg/ml group.]	54
Figure 12. The effect of <i>C. bonplandianus</i> extracts on A. Phagocytic capacity and B. Phagocytic index of murine peritoneal exudate macrophages. C. Haemolytic activity D. In vitro cell adhesion assay. N.S. = non-significant (P≥0.05), *P≤0.05, **P≤0.01, ***P≤0.001 versus control.	56

Contd... to next page.

	Page#
Figure 13. A. The respiratory burst activity of murine peritoneal exudates macrophages. B. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced nitric oxide production by CBLE. C. The percentage of MPO reduction by CBLE in murine peritoneal macrophages. D. Removal of non-specific carbon particles from the systemic circulation as evaluated by Carbon Clearance test.	57
Figure 14. The effect of CBLE on Con A (5 µg/ml) stimulated A. IL-10, B. IFN-γ, C. IL-4 D. TNF-α release in murine lymphocytes. N.S. = non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$), * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ versus group 1 (control) and $\alpha = P \leq 0.05$, $\beta = P \leq 0.01$, $\gamma = P \leq 0.001$ and $\delta =$ non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$) compared to the 0 µg/ml group.	58
Figure 15. The effect of CBLE on Con A (5 µg/ml) stimulated A. IL-2 release in murine lymphocytes, B. NO Expression in murine lymphocytes, C. PGE2 level in murine lymphocytes D. Percentage of inhibition of PGE2 level. N.S. = non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$), * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ versus group 1 (control) and $\alpha = P \leq 0.05$, $\beta = P \leq 0.01$, $\gamma = P \leq 0.001$ and $\delta =$ non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$) compared to the 0 µg/ml group.	59
Figure 16. The effect of CBLE on Con A (5 µg/ml) stimulated COX-1, COX-2 and total COX activities in murine lymphocytes. N.S. = non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$), * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$ versus group 1 (control) and $\alpha = P \leq 0.05$, $\beta = P \leq 0.01$, $\gamma = P \leq 0.001$ and $\delta =$ non-significant ($P \geq 0.05$) compared to the 0 µg/ml group.	59
Figure 17. Correlation between the expressions of A. IL-2 versus IFN-γ; B. TNF-a versus IFN-γ; C. IL-4 versus IFN-γ; D. IL-10 versus IFN-γ. Axes 'x' and 'y' denote correlation points of respective cytokines measured at pg/ml at different doses of CBLE (0–80 µg/ml). Where, $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.	60
Figure 18. Correlation between the expressions of A. TNF-a versus IL-2; B. IL-4 versus IL-2; C. IL-10 versus IL-2 and D. IL-4 versus TNF-a. Axes 'x' and 'y' denote correlation points of respective cytokines measured at pg/ml at different doses of CBLE (0–80 µg/ml). Where, $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.	61
Figure 19. Correlation between the expressions of A. IL-10 versus TNF-α and B. IL-10 versus IL-4. Axes 'x' and 'y' denote correlation points of respective cytokines measured at pg/ml at different doses of CBLE (0–80 µg/ml). Where, $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.	61
Figure 20. Correlation of NO with A. IFN-γ; B. IL-2; C. TNF-α expression under the influence of CBLE. Axes 'x' and 'y' denote correlation points of respective cytokines measured at pg/ml and NO levels at different doses of CBLE (0–80 lg/ml). Where, $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.	62
Figure 21. Correlation of NO with A. IL-4 and B. IL-10 expression under the influence of CBLE. Axes 'x' and 'y' denote correlation points of respective cytokines measured at pg/ml and NO levels at different doses of CBLE (0–80 lg/ml). Where, $R^2 =$ coefficient of determination.	62

	Page#
Figure 22. Correlation of prostaglandin E2 level with the expression of different pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators. An ax “x” and “y” denotes correlation points of respective parameters measured at pg/mL at different dose of CBLE (0–80 µg/mL). Where, R^2 = coefficient of determination.	63
Figure 23. Correlation of prostaglandin E2 level with the expression of different pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators. An ax “x” and “y” denotes correlation points of respective parameters measured at pg/mL at different dose of CBLE (0–80 µg/mL). Where, R^2 = coefficient of determination.	63
Figure 24. Heatmap based on binding energy among proteins and phytochemicals. The phytochemicals which served as ligands for molecular docking experiment are along the Y axis and the proteins are placed on the X-axis.	64
Figure 25. Molecular docking between prostaglandin protein and α -amyrin.	65
Figure 26. Molecular docking (molecular surface view) between prostaglandin protein and α -amyrin.	65
Figure 27. Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A) & (B) DPPH scavenging activity; (C) % of hydroxyl radical (OH^\bullet) scavenging Vs standard mannitol; (D) depicts remaining unneutralized OH^\bullet . Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). $^\alpha$ p<0.05; $^\beta$ p<0.01; $^\gamma$ p<0.001; ^{NS} -Non significant when compared with standard.	67
Figure 28. Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A) % of inhibition of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) Vs standard sodium pyruvate; (B) depicts remaining unneutralized H_2O_2 ; (C) % inhibition of Hypochlorous acid (HOCl) Vs standard ascorbic acid; (D) depicts unneutralized HOCl radicals. Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). $^\alpha$ p<0.05; $^\beta$ p<0.01; $^\gamma$ p<0.001; NS-Non significant when compared with standard.	67
Figure 29: Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A) % inhibition of lipid peroxidation Vs standard trolox; (B) depicts remaining unneutralized lipid peroxides (ROO^\bullet); (C) & (D) concentration dependent Total antioxidant activity and extent of Mo^{6+} reduction;. Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). $^\alpha$ p<0.05; $^\beta$ p<0.01; $^\gamma$ p<0.001; NS-Non significant when compared with standard.	68
Figure 30: Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A and B) Fe^{2+} -chelation Vs standard EDTA; (C) depicts remaining unneutralized Fe^{2+} ; (D) Total reducing power activity. Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). $^\alpha$ p<0.05; $^\beta$ p<0.01; $^\gamma$ p<0.001; NS-Non significant when compared with standard.	69

	Page#
Figure 31. Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A) % inhibition of Superoxide ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) Vs standard quercetin; (B) depicts unneutralized superoxide ($O_2^{\bullet-}$) radicals. (C) % inhibition of Singlet O_2 (1O_2) Vs standard lipoic acid; (D) depicts unneutralized Singlet O_2 (1O_2). Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). α p<0.05; β p<0.01; γ p<0.001; NS-Non significant when compared with standard.	69
Figure 32. Antioxidant activity of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> . (A) % inhibition of Nitric oxide (NO) Vs standard curcumin; (B) depicts unneutralized nitric oxide (NO). (C) % inhibition of Peroxynitrite ($OONO^-$) Vs standard gallic acid; (D) depicts unneutralized Peroxynitrite ($OONO^-$). Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). α p<0.05; β p<0.01; γ p<0.001; NS-Non significant when compared with standard.	71
Figure 33. Pairwise correlation of H_2O_2 inhibition and Fe^{2+} -chelation Vs OH^\bullet scavenging for <i>C. bonplandianus</i> represented in section (A) & (B) , respectively. All data are expressed as mean \pm S.D. (n = 6). r = Pearson's correlation coefficient, r^2 = coefficient of determination and P = significance value.	71
Figure 34. The effect of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> on the (A) Peroxidase; (B) Catalase; (C) Reduced glutathione (GSH); (D) Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities in CCl_4 intoxicated liver samples. Comparisons were made with control for statistical inference ('t' test for paired comparison) to interpret significant difference. Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). α p<0.05; β p<0.01; γ p<0.001; ^{NS} -Non significant.	74
Figure 35. The effect of <i>Croton bonplandianus</i> on (A) MDA level; (B) TNF- α level; (C) Cell viability; (D) NO release activities in CCl_4 intoxicated liver samples. Comparisons were made with control for statistical inference ('t' test for paired comparison) to interpret significant difference. Data expressed as mean \pm S.D (n=6). α p<0.05; β p<0.01; γ p<0.001; ^{NS} -Non significant.	76
Figure 36: Effects of CBL in depletion of intracellular ROS production generated by CCl_4 in WRL-68 cells. Production of ROS was measured by cleavage of acetate group of non-fluorescent H_2DCFDA (2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate) which convert into DCF (2',7'-dichlorofluorescein) highly fluorescent. Cells were exposed to CCl_4 before treatment with CBL 50, 80, 100, 150 and 200 μ g/ml for 24 h. The ROS production displays the intensity of fluorescence through the images of WRL-68 cells treated with different concentration of CBL (D-H) , CCl_4 (C) , H_2O_2 (B) and control (A) .	76
Figure 37. Photomicrographs (100 \times) of the histopathological examinations of the liver samples of different groups.	78

	Page#
Figure 38. Photomicrographs (400×) of the histopathological examinations of the liver samples of different groups.	79
Figure 39. Photomicrographs (400×) of the histopathological examinations of the liver samples of CCl ₄ group showing fibrosis.	80
Figure 40. Heatmap based on binding energy among proteins and phytochemicals. The phytochemicals which served as ligands for molecular docking experiment are along the Y axis and the proteins are placed on the X-axis.	81
Figure 41. Molecular docking between Hepatitis BX protein and α -amyrin.	82
Figure 42. Molecular docking (molecular surface view) between NF κ B protein and Campesterol.	82
Figure 43. Effect of CBLE on scopolamine induced memory impairment in mice. A. AChE, B. DPPH activity of CBLE extract. * p \leq 0.05; ** p \leq 0.01; *** p \leq 0.001; NS = Non significant Vs Control group; ^{α} p \leq 0.05; ^{β} p \leq 0.01; ^{γ} p \leq 0.001; ^{δ} = Non significant Vs Scopolamine induced group. Data represented as mean \pm SD.	84
Figure 44. Effect of CBLE on scopolamine induced memory impairment in mice. A. Catalase, B. MDA (Lipid peroxidation) activity of CBLE extract. * p \leq 0.05; ** p \leq 0.01; *** p \leq 0.001; NS = Non significant Vs Control group; ^{α} p \leq 0.05; ^{β} p \leq 0.01; ^{γ} p \leq 0.001; ^{δ} = Non significant Vs Scopolamine induced group. Data represented as mean \pm SD.	85
Figure 45. Effect of CBLE on scopolamine induced memory impairment in mice. A. GSH, B. SOD (Superoxide dismutase) activity of CBLE extract. * p \leq 0.05; ** p \leq 0.01; *** p \leq 0.001; NS = Non significant Vs Control group; ^{α} p \leq 0.05; ^{β} p \leq 0.01; ^{γ} p \leq 0.001; ^{δ} = Non significant Vs Scopolamine induced group. Data represented as mean \pm SD.	85
Figure 46. Photomicrograph control and treated mice brain (cortex, A-F), 40X.	86
Figure 47. Photomicrograph control and treated mice brain (Hippocampus region, A-F), 40X.	87