

# List of Tables

	Page #
<b>Table 3.1.</b> Selected plant species selected for antioxidant activities.	26
<b>Table 3.2.</b> Collection sites of 9 different plant samples	43
<b>Table 3.3.</b> List of RAPD primers used in the Present Study	47
<b>Table 3.4.</b> List of restriction endonucleases in the present study	49
<b>Table 3.5.</b> Primers used for amplification of matK and TrnL-F gene segments.	50
<b>Table 3.6.</b> Taxa, specimens and GenBank accession numbers for sequences used in the present study.	53
<b>Table 3.7.</b> Details of the collected <i>Rhizobium</i> from different location with ID.	53
<b>Table 3.8.</b> List of RAPD primers used in the Present Study.	58
<b>Table 4.1</b> DPPH scavenging activity of all 9 Mimosoids	62
<b>Table 4.2.</b> Percentage of inhibition of all four plant species.	64
<b>Table 4.3.</b> IC <sub>50</sub> values of each extract with their respective standard used in the present study.	66
<b>Table 4.4.</b> Correlation Matrix of different antioxidant assays in ACL extract.	68
<b>Table 4.5.</b> Correlation matrix of different antioxidant assays in MPD extract.	70
<b>Table 4.6.</b> Correlation matrix of different antioxidant assays in MIN extract.	71
<b>Table 4.7.</b> Effect of MPD and MIN extracts on scopolamine-induced memory impairment in the passive avoidance test.	74
<b>Table 4.8.</b> Role of ANL extract on body weight (gm) of diabetic mice.	77
<b>Table 4.9.</b> Different enzymatic and biochemical parameters of the serum of different five experimental groups.	81
<b>Table 4.10.</b> FTIR absorption values and functional groups of ACL and ANL extracts.	83
<b>Table 4.11.</b> List of metabolites detected in <i>A. catechu</i> leaf extract by GC-MS analysis.	85
<b>Table 4.12.</b> List of metabolites detected in <i>A. nilotica</i> leaf extract by GC-MS analysis.	88
<b>Table 4.13.</b> List of bioactive metabolites detected in MPD leaf extract by GC-MS analysis.	89
<b>Table 4.14.</b> List of bioactive metabolites detected in MIN leaf extract by GC-MS analysis.	90
<b>Table 4.15.</b> Binding affinities between the ligands and proteins.	96
<b>Table 4.16.</b> Physiochemical properties of selected ligands as per Lipinski's rule.	99
<b>Table 4.17.</b> Configurations employed in docking technique for anti-diabetic drugability prediction.	102

Contd... from previous page.

	Page #
<b>Table 4.18.</b> Chemical properties of selected ligands chosen to be docked with 5FNQ protein.	102
<b>Table 4.19.</b> List of samples showing their purity	104
<b>Table 4.20.</b> Total number and size of amplified bands, number of monomorphic and polymorphic bands generated and percentage of polymorphism generated by the RAPD primers.	105
<b>Table 4.21.</b> The similarity matrix obtained using Dice coefficient of similarity among the 9 species of Mimosoideae based on RAPD profiling.	107
<b>Table 4.22.</b> Total fragments, number of polymorphic bands generated by using different restriction enzymes.	110
<b>Table 4.23.</b> The similarity matrix obtained using Dice coefficient of similarity among the 9 species of Mimosoideae based on PCR-RFLP profiling.	111
<b>Table 4.24.</b> List of species with the submitted GenBank accession numbers for TrnL-TrnF and matK.	114
<b>Table 4.25.</b> Antioxidant activities of root and root-nodule collected from different host.	118
<b>Table 4.26.</b> List of <i>Rhizobium</i> samples showing their purity.	118
<b>Table 4.27.</b> Total number and size of amplified bands, number of monomorphic and polymorphic bands generated and percentage of polymorphism generated by the RAPD primers.	119
<b>Table 4.28.</b> The similarity matrix obtained using Dice coefficient of similarity among the 6 isolates of <i>Rhizobium</i> from 2 regions of northern parts of Bengal province in India based on RAPD profiling.	119

# List of Figures

	<b>Page#</b>
<b>Fig. 1.1.</b> Map of the study area. The spots (*) represent the places of plant collection sites in the northern parts of Bengal province (consisting of three districts) in West Bengal, India.	7
<b>Fig. 2.1.</b> Selected phytochemicals present in different Mimosoids.	19
<b>Fig. 3.1.</b> Datasheet used during the collection of germplasm.	43
<b>Fig. 3.2.</b> Datasheet used during sample collection of root nodule ( <i>Rhizobium</i> ).	54
<b>Fig. 4.1.</b> Preparation of herbal-formulations by traditional healers.	61
<b>Fig. 4.2.</b> Free radical scavenging activity through (A) DPPH, (B) Hydroxyl radical, (C) Superoxide anion and (D) Singlet oxygen scavenging activity of 4 different Mimosoids and their respective standards.	63
<b>Fig. 4.3.</b> (A) H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , (B) NO quenching activity and (C) Iron chelating properties of different Mimosoids and positive control.	65
<b>Fig. 4.4.</b> Antioxidant properties of 4 Mimosoids by means of (A) Peroxynitrite, (B) HOCl, (C) Lipid peroxidation and (D) Total antioxidant activity assay.	67
<b>Fig. 4.5.</b> PCA (A) and HCA (B) analysis of ACL extract among selected antioxidant traits.	69
<b>Fig. 4.6.</b> PCA, HCA and heat map analysis different antioxidant traits used in MPD and MIN extracts. PCA (A), HCA (B) and Heat Map (C) of MPD extract; PCA (D), HCA (E) and Heat Map (F) of MIN extract.	69
<b>Fig. 4.7.</b> Haemolytic activity of selected Mimosoids and standard Triton X-100 (A); Erythrocyte membrane stabilizing activity (B); MTT cell viability activity of splenocyte (C) and macrophage (D) [Each value represents mean $\pm$ SD (n=6); Where, $\gamma = P < 0.001$ , $\beta = P < 0.01$ and $\alpha = P < 0.05$ Vs standard ( $\mu\text{g/ml}$ )]	72
<b>Fig. 4.8.</b> Effect of MPD and MIN extracts on scopolamine-induced memory impairment in the passive avoidance test. (A) AChE, (B) Catalase,	75
<b>Fig. 4.9.</b> (A) Effect of different groups on blood glucose (mg/dl) level in	77
<b>Fig. 4.10.</b> (A) Insulin level of different groups after 20 days of ANL treatment. (B) Hepatic glycogen level of different groups after 20 days of ANL treatment.	78
<b>Fig. 4.11.</b> (A) MDA concentration level, (B) Catalase activity and (C) Peroxidase activity in liver, kidney and skeletal muscle of different	79
<b>Fig. 4.12.</b> FT-IR spectroscopic analysis of ACL.	82
<b>Fig. 4.13.</b> FT-IR spectroscopic analysis of ANL.	84
<b>Fig. 4.14.</b> Gas chromatogram-Mass spectroscopy of <i>A. catechu</i> leaf extract.	84

*Contd... to next page.*

Contd... from previous page.

	<b>Page#</b>
<b>Fig. 4.15.</b> Chemical structures of some essential bioactive metabolites identified in ACL extract by GC-MS.	86
<b>Fig. 4.16.</b> Schematic representation of possible biosynthetic pathway of catecholamines identified in ACL extract.	86
<b>Fig. 4.17.</b> GC-MS chromatogram of ANL extract.	87
<b>Fig. 4.18.</b> Gas chromatogram-Mass spectroscopy of <i>M. pudica</i> leaf extract.	91
<b>Fig. 4.19.</b> Gas chromatogram-Mass spectroscopy of <i>M. invisa</i> leaf extract.	91
<b>Fig. 4.20.</b> Chemical structures of few active metabolites identified in MPD and MIN extracts by GC-MS.	92
<b>Fig. 4.21.</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra ( <b>A</b> ) and <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra ( <b>B</b> ) of ACL extract.	93
<b>Fig. 4.22.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra (a) and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra (b) of MPD extract representing the structure of squalene.	94
<b>Fig. 4.23.</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra (a) and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra (b) of MIN extract representing the structure of gallic acid.	94
<b>Fig. 4.24.</b> Binding affinities of stigmasterol with ( <b>A</b> ) FasL protein (4MSV), ( <b>B</b> ) NADPH oxidase (1OEY) and ( <b>C</b> ) TLR protein (5AWA).	96
<b>Fig. 4.25.</b> Binding affinities of FasL protein (4MSV), NADPH oxidase (1OEY) and TLR protein (5AWA) with β-sitosterol (A-C) and affinities of campesterol with same proteins (D-F) respectively.	97
<b>Fig. 4.26.</b> <i>In-silico</i> docking representation of considered ligands i.e. ( <b>A</b> ) campesterol, ( <b>B</b> ) β-sitosterol and ( <b>C</b> ) stigmasterol with human brain membrane protein (dopamine receptor D3 protein).	98
<b>Fig. 4.27.</b> Blood brain barrier (BBB) permeability of ligands: ( <b>A</b> ) campesterol, ( <b>B</b> ) β-sitosterol and ( <b>C</b> ) stigmasterol; where, BBB score of each compound is more than threshold level (0.02) indicating the BBB permeability of selected ligands.	99
<b>Fig. 4.28.</b> Phospho-glycoprotein (P-gp) substrate property of selected ligands; where, considered ligands (green colored) β-sitosterol ( <b>A</b> ), stigmasterol ( <b>B</b> ) and campesterol ( <b>C</b> ) clustered with P-gp substrates (red colored).	100
<b>Fig. 4.29.</b> <i>In-silico</i> docking representation of ligands such as α-tocopherol ( <b>A</b> ), γ-tocopherol ( <b>B</b> ), β-sitosterol ( <b>C</b> ) and catechol ( <b>D</b> ) with Nrf2 transcription protein (5FNQ).	103
<b>Fig. 4.30.</b> Probable diagrammatic representation of Nrf2 action in the management of diabetes. (A) Inactivated Nrf2 and (B) Activated Nrf2.	103
<b>Fig. 4.31.</b> Crude DNA of different Mimosoid samples (Lane M1-M9: different samples of Mimosoids under study)	105
<b>Fig. 4.32.</b> Representatives of RAPD profiling of 9 accessions of Mimosoids amplified with (A) OPA01, (B) OPA 16, (C) OPB 13 and (D) OPN 05 primers.	106
<b>Fig. 4.33.</b> Dendrogram obtained from UPGMA cluster analysis of RAPD markers illustrating the genetic relationships among the 9 accessions of Mimosoids.	107

Contd... to next page.

Contd... from previous page.

	<b>Page#</b>
<b>Fig. 4.34.</b> Principal co-ordinate analysis of 9 species of Mimosoids based on RAPD analysis data representing 2-dimensional plot.	108
<b>Fig. 4.35.</b> Principal co-ordinate analysis of 9 species of Mimosoids based on RAPD analysis data representing 3-dimensional plot.	108
<b>Fig. 4.36.</b> Amplification of 9 species of Mimosoids with primer Tab c-f (TrnL-TrnF). Lane L1: 100bp DNA ladder; Lane M1-M9: Different species of Mimosoids as listed in <b>Table 3.2</b> and L2: $\lambda$ DNA/EcoRI/HindIII double digest DNA ladder.	109
<b>Fig. 4.37.</b> Restriction digestion products of TrnL-TrnF region of chloroplast genome by (A) <i>EcoRI</i> , (B) <i>HaeIII</i> , (C) <i>HinfI</i> and (D) <i>TaqI</i> .	110
<b>Fig. 4.38.</b> A dendrogram based on the restriction digestion products data of the TrnL-TrnF region of 9 species under Mimosoideae.	112
<b>Fig. 4.39.</b> Principal coordinates analysis of 9 species of Fabaceae based on restriction digestion products of TrnL-TrnF region of chloroplast genome. (A) 2-dimensional plot and (B) 3-dimensional plot.	112
<b>Fig. 4.40.</b> (A) Snapshot of partial matK gene sequence of <i>Mimosa invisa</i> submitted to GenBank (NCBI). (B) Snapshot of partial trnL-trnF Intergenic Spacer (IGS) sequence of <i>Mimosa pudica</i> submitted to GenBank (NCBI).	114- 115
<b>Fig. 4.41.</b> Most parsimonious tree (neighbour joining method) showing the relationship of matK region of 16 different taxa (A) and TrnL-F region of 15 different taxa (B) belonging from subfamilies, Mimosoideae, Papilionoideae and Caesalpinioideae within the family Fabaceae and one outgroup taxa from Cannabaceae.	116
<b>Fig. 4.42.</b> Crude DNA of <i>Rhizobium</i> .	118
<b>Fig. 4.43.</b> DNA Gel showing the DNA bands of six <i>Rhizobium</i> strains amplified by the RAPD primers (A) OPA 18 and (B) OPY 04.	119
<b>Fig. 4.44.</b> Dendrogram derived from UPGMA cluster analysis of RAPD markers illustrating the genetic relationships among the 9 <i>Rhizobium</i> strains.	120

# List of Appendices

	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page #</b>
Appendix A	List of Publications	A1-A2
Appendix B	Buffers and chemicals used for DNA fingerprinting studies	A3-A4