



Chapter 6

**GENERALISED
GROWTH PROPERTIES
OF COMPOSITE
ENTIRE FUNCTIONS**

Chapter 6

GENERALISED GROWTH PROPERTIES OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

6.1 Introduction, Definitions and Notations.

Let f be an entire function defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . The following definitions are well-known. In the sequel we use the following notations: (i) $\log^{[k]} x = \log(\log^{[k-1]} x)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\log^{[0]} x = x$
(ii) $\exp^{[t]} x = \exp(\exp^{[t-1]} x)$ for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\exp^{[0]} x = x$.

Definition 6.1.1. *The order ρ_f and lower order λ_f of an entire function f are defined as*

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Definition 6.1.2. *[31] Let the order ρ_f of an entire function f be zero. Then the quantities ρ_f^* and λ_f^* are defined as*

$$\rho_f^* = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} r} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} r}.$$

In the line of Definition 6.1.1 and Definition 6.1.2 we may give the following definitions respectively.

Some portion of the results of this chapter have been published in **International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics**, see [15] and the remaining portion have been accepted for publication and to appear in **International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences**, see [18].

Definition 6.1.3. The t -th generalised order ${}^{(t)}\rho_f$ and t -th generalised lower order ${}^{(t)}\lambda_f$ of an entire function f are defined in the following way

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log r} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Definition 6.1.4. Let the t -th generalised order ${}^{(t)}\rho_f$ of an entire function f be zero. Then the quantities ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^*$ and ${}^{(t)}\lambda_f^*$ are defined in the following way

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^* = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} r} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} r}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Let $L = L(r)$ be a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e., $L(ar) \sim L(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for every positive constant a . Singh and Barker [38] defined it in the following way :

Definition 6.1.5. [38] A positive continuous function $L(r)$ is called a 'slowly changing function' if for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{k^\varepsilon} \leq \frac{L(kr)}{L(r)} \leq k^\varepsilon$$

for $r \geq r(\varepsilon)$ and uniformly for $k(\geq 1)$.

If further, $L(r)$ is differentiable, the above condition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{rL'(r)}{L(r)} = 0.$$

Somasundaram and Thamizharasi [44] introduced the notions of L -order and L -type for entire functions defined in the open complex plane \mathbb{C} . The more generalised concept for L -order and L -type of entire functions are L^* -order and L^* -type respectively. Their definitions are as follows:

Definition 6.1.6. [44] The L^* -order $\rho_f^{L^*}$ and L^* -lower order $\lambda_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f are defined as

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]}.$$

Definition 6.1.7. [44] The L^* -type $\sigma_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f is defined as follows

$$\sigma_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{[re^{L(r)}] \rho_f^{L^*}}, \quad 0 < \rho_f^{L^*} < \infty.$$

Definition 6.1.8. Let the L^* -order $\rho_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f be zero. Then the quantities $(\rho_f^{L^*})^*$ and $(\lambda_f^{L^*})^*$ are defined in the following way

$$(\rho_f^{L^*})^* = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_f^{L^*})^* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]}$$

In the line of Definition 6.1.6, Definition 6.1.7 and Definition 6.1.8 we may introduce the following three definitions.

Definition 6.1.9. The t -th generalised L^* -order ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}$ and t -th L^* -lower order ${}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f are defined in the following way

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Definition 6.1.10. The t -th generalised L^* -type ${}^{(t)}\sigma_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f is defined as

$${}^{(t)}\sigma_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f)}{[re^{L(r)}] {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}}, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots \text{ and } 0 < {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} < \infty.$$

Definition 6.1.11. Let the t -th generalised L^* -order ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}$ of an entire function f be zero. Then the quantities $({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*})^*$ and $({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^*$ are defined in the following way

$$({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*})^* = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]} \quad \text{and} \quad ({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^* = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

In the chapter we intend to establish some results on the comparative growth properties of composite entire functions using generalised L^* -order (generalised L^* -lower order) and generalised L^* -type.

Let f and g be two entire functions and $F(r) \equiv M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$, $G(r) \equiv M(r, g) = \max\{|g(z)| : |z| = r\}$. If f is non constant then $F(r)$ is strictly increasing and continuous and its inverse $F^{-1} : (|f(0)|, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ exists and is such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F^{-1}(s) = \infty.$$

Bernal [2] introduced the definition of relative order of f with respect to g , denoted by $\rho_g(f)$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(f) &= \inf\{\mu > 0 : F(r) < G(r^\mu) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu) > 0\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G^{-1}F(r)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one may define the relative lower order of f with respect to g , denoted by $\lambda_g(f)$ in the following manner

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_g(f) &= \sup\{\mu' > 0 : F(r) > G(r^{\mu'}) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu') > 0\} \\ &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G^{-1}F(r)}{\log r}. \end{aligned}$$

The above two definitions coincide with the classical definitions of order and lower order if $g(z) = \exp z$ [46].

In the chapter we also introduce the definition of generalised relative L^* -order and generalised relative L^* -lower order of f with respect to g where f and g are both entire functions and study some of their properties.

Definition 6.1.12. *If $t \geq 1$ is a positive integer, then the t -th generalised relative L^* -order and t -th generalised relative L^* -lower order of an entire function f with respect to an entire function g , denoted respectively by ${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f)$ and ${}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}(f)$ are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &{}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) \\ &= \inf\{\mu_0 > 0 : F(r) < G(\exp^{[t-1]}[re^{L(r)}]^{\mu_0}) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu_0) > 0\} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1}F(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) \\ &= \sup\{\mu'_0 > 0 : F(r) > G(\exp^{[t-1]}[re^{L(r)}] \mu'_0) \text{ for all } r > r_0(\mu'_0) > 0\} \\ &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1}F(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \text{ for } t = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.1.13. Two entire functions f and g are said to be asymptotically equivalent if there exists l , $0 < l < \infty$ such that

$$\frac{F(r)}{G(r)} \rightarrow l \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and in this case we write $f \sim g$.

If $f \sim g$, then clearly $g \sim f$.

Throughout the chapter we shall assume f , g , h etc. to be non-constant and if they are entire then $F(r)$, $G(r)$, $H(r)$ etc. denote respectively their maximum modulus on $|z| = r$.

6.2 Lemmas.

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in sequel.

Lemma 6.2.1. [7] If f and g are two entire functions, then for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right) - |g(0)|, f\right) \leq M(r, fog) \leq M(M(r, g), f).$$

Lemma 6.2.2. [42] Let f be entire and g be a transcendental entire function of finite lower order. Then for any $\delta > 0$

$$M(r^{1+\delta}, fog) \geq M(M(r, g), f) \quad (r \geq r_0).$$

Lemma 6.2.3. [20] Let f be meromorphic and g be transcendental entire. If $\rho_{fog} < \infty$ then $\rho_f = 0$.

Lemma 6.2.4. Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire. If $\rho_{fog} < \infty$ then

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} = 0, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. Since $\rho_{fog} < \infty$, in view of Lemma 6.2.3 we have $\rho_f = 0$. Again ${}^{(t)}\rho_f \leq \rho_f = 0$ implies that ${}^{(t)}\rho_f = 0$ where $t = 2, 3, \dots$. Also ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \leq \rho_f^{L^*} \leq \rho_f = 0$. Hence ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} = 0$ where $t = 2, 3, \dots$. This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 6.2.5. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire such that ${}^{(t)}\rho_f = 0$ and $\rho_g < \infty$. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Also from Lemma 6.2.2 and since $\delta (> 0)$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r^{1+\delta}, fog)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two inequalities we obtain

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 6.2.6. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire with $\rho_g = 0$. Also let $\rho_{fog} = 0$. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\lambda_g^{L^*})^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\rho_g^{L^*})^*, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. Since $\rho_{fog} = 0 (< \infty)$, in view of Lemma 6.2.4 we have ${}^{(t)}\rho_f = 0$.

Also ${}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq \rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq \rho_{fog} = 0$ implies that ${}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} = 0$ for $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Now in view of Lemma 6.2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \right)^* &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\rho_g^{L^*})^*. \end{aligned}$$

Also from Lemma 6.2.2 since $\delta (> 0)$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \right)^* &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\lambda_g^{L^*})^*. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above two inequalities we obtain that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\lambda_g^{L^*})^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \right)^* \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\rho_g^{L^*})^*, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Thus the lemma is established. ■

Lemma 6.2.7. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire such that ${}^{(t)}\lambda_f = 0$ and $\lambda_g < \infty$, then*

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \geq {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \lambda_g^{L^*}, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.2 and since $\delta (> 0)$ is arbitrary we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \lambda_g^{L^*}. \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 6.2.8. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire with $\rho_{f \circ g} = 0$ and $\rho_g = 0$. Then*

$$\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \right)^* \geq {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \left(\lambda_g^{L^*} \right)^*, \quad \text{for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.2.2 and as $\delta (> 0)$ is arbitrary we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \right)^* &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log^{[2]} [r e^{L(r)}]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[2]} [r e^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \left(\lambda_g^{L^*} \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Thus the lemma is established. ■

Lemma 6.2.9. [2] *Let f be entire and $\alpha > 1$, $0 < \beta < \alpha$. Then $F(\alpha r) > \beta F(r)$ for all large r .*

Lemma 6.2.10. [2] *Let f be an entire function. If $\alpha > 1$, $0 < \beta < \alpha$, $s > 1$, $0 < \mu < \lambda$ and n is a positive integer, then*

$$(a) \quad F(\alpha r) > \beta F(r)$$

$$(b) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(r^s)}{r^n F(r)} = \infty = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(r^\lambda)}{r^n F(r^\mu)}, \quad \text{for transcendental } f.$$

Lemma 6.2.11. *Let P be a polynomial and f be a transcendental entire function. Then for any entire function g , ${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(Pf) = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f)$ where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

Proof. Let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree m . Then we can always choose a positive number α , $0 < \alpha < 1$ and choose a positive integer $n (> m)$ such that

$$2\alpha < |P(z)| < r^n \tag{*}$$

hold on $|z| = r$ for all large r .

So by the first part of Lemma 6.2.10 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha r\right) > \frac{1}{2\alpha} F(\alpha r) \\ \text{i.e., } F(\alpha r) &< 2\alpha F(r). \end{aligned}$$

Let $h(z) = P(z)f(z)$. Then by the second part of Lemma 6.2.10 and (*) it follows for $s > 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha r) &< 2\alpha F(r) < H(r) < r^n F(r) < F(r^s) \\ \text{i.e., } F(\alpha r) &< H(r) < F(r^s). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} F(\alpha r)}{\log[\alpha r e^{L(r)}]} \cdot \frac{\log[\alpha r e^{L(r)}]}{\log[r e^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} H(r)}{\log[r e^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} F(r^s)}{\log[r e^{L(r)}]^s} \cdot \frac{\log[r e^{L(r)}]^s}{\log[r e^{L(r)}]} \\ &\text{i.e., } {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(h) \leq s \cdot {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $s > 1$ is arbitrary, letting $s \rightarrow 1 + 0$, we obtain that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(Pf) = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f).$$

This proves the lemma. ■

6.3 Theorems.

In this section we present the main results of the chapter.

Theorem 6.3.1. *Let f and g be two entire functions such that $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} < \infty$ and $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. From the definition of t -th generalised L^* -order and t -th generalised L^* -lower order we have for arbitrary positive ε and for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \geq ({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} - \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}] \quad (6.1)$$

and

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g) \leq A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.2)$$

Now from (6.1) and (6.2) it follows for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} - \varepsilon)}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon)}.$$

As $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.3)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \leq ({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}] \quad (6.4)$$

and for all large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g) \geq A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.5)$$

So combining (6.4) and (6.5) we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} + \varepsilon)}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary it follows that,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.6)$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g) \leq A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.7)$$

Now from (6.1) and (6.7) we obtain for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} - \varepsilon}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon)}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.8)$$

Also for all large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \leq ({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.9)$$

So from (6.5) and (6.9) it follows for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} + \varepsilon}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon)}.$$

As $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.10)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.3), (6.6), (6.8) and (6.10). ■

Remark 6.3.1. The sign ' \leq ' cannot be replaced by ' $<$ ' only in Theorem 6.3.1 as we see in the following example.

Example 6.3.1. Let $f = z$, $g = \exp z$, $A = 1$, $t = 2$ and $L(r) = \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})$ where p is any positive real number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log r + L(r)} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r}{\log r + \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(2)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} = 1$. Again

$$\begin{aligned} (2)\rho_g^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log r + L(r)} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r}{\log r + \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(2)\lambda_g^{L^*} = 1$. So

$$(2)\rho_{fog}^{L^*} = (2)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} = (2)\rho_g^{L^*} = (2)\lambda_g^{L^*} = 1.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log^{[2]} \exp r} = 1 \\ \text{and } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log^{[2]} \exp r} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(2)}\rho_g^{L^*}} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} = \frac{(2)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(2)}\lambda_g^{L^*}} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} = \frac{(2)\rho_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(2)}\lambda_g^{L^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.3.2. Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire satisfying the following conditions (i) $(t)\rho_f = 0$ and $\rho_g < \infty$, (ii) $0 < (t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \leq (t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*} < \infty$ and (iii) $0 < (t)\lambda_g^{L^*} \leq (t)\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(t)\lambda_f^* \cdot \lambda_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}} &\leq \frac{(t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{(t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{(t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}} \leq \frac{(t)\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.7 and the second part of Lemma 6.2.5, Theorem 6.3.2 follows from Theorem 6.3.1. ■

Theorem 6.3.3. *Let f and g be two entire functions such that $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} < \infty$ and $0 < {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*})} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)},$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. From the definition of t -th generalised L^* -order we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g) \geq A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.11)$$

Now from (6.9) and (6.11) it follows for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} + \varepsilon}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon)}.$$

As $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain from above that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.12)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g) \geq ({}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} - \varepsilon) \log[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.13)$$

So combining (6.2) and (6.13) we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} - \varepsilon}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A({}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*})}. \quad (6.14)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.12) and (6.14). ■

Remark 6.3.2. The sign ' \leq ' cannot be replaced by ' $<$ ' only in Theorem 6.3.3 which is evident from the following example.

Example 6.3.2. If $f = z$, $g = \exp z$, $A = 1$, $t = 2$ and $L(r) = \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})$ where p is any positive real number, then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log r + L(r)} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r}{\log r + \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, ${}^{(2)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} = 1$. Again

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(2)}\rho_g^{L^*} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, g)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log r + L(r)} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r}{\log r + \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, ${}^{(2)}\lambda_g^{L^*} = 1$. So

$${}^{(2)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} = {}^{(2)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} = {}^{(2)}\rho_g^{L^*} = {}^{(2)}\lambda_g^{L^*} = 1.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log^{[2]} \exp r} = 1 \\ \text{and } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log^{[2]} \exp r} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)} = \frac{{}^{(2)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}}{A {}^{(2)}\rho_g^{L^*}} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, g)}.$$

Theorem 6.3.4. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire satisfying the following conditions (i) ${}^{(t)}\rho_f = 0$ and $\rho_g < \infty$, (ii) $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} < \infty$ and (iii) $0 < {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}}$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.5 we obtain from Theorem 6.3.3

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}}$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \rho_g^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}}$$

for $t = 2, 3, \dots$

This proves the theorem. ■

The following theorem is a natural consequence of Theorem 6.3.1 and Theorem 6.3.3.

Theorem 6.3.5. *Let f and g be two entire functions such that $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} < \infty$ and $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \lambda_g^{L^*}}, \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}} \right\} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{{}^{(t)}\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \lambda_g^{L^*}}, \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \rho_g^{L^*}} \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{A^{(t)} \lambda_g^{L^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 6.3.6. *Let f and g be two entire functions satisfying (i) $0 < {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$, (ii) $0 < {}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*} < \infty$, (iii) ${}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}$ and (iv) $0 < {}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*} < \infty$. Then*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{{}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*}} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)},$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. From the definition of t -th generalised L^* -type of a composite entire function we have for arbitrary positive ε and for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g) \leq ({}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*} + \varepsilon)[re^{L(r)}]^{(t)\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}. \quad (6.15)$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g) \geq ({}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon)[re^{L(r)}]^{(t)\rho_g^{L^*}}. \quad (6.16)$$

As ${}^{(t)}\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*} = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}$ from (6.15) and (6.16) it follows for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*} + \varepsilon}{{}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*} - \varepsilon}.$$

As $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*}}{{}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*}}. \quad (6.17)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g) \geq ({}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*} - \varepsilon)[re^{L(r)}]^{(t)\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}} \quad (6.18)$$

and for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g) \leq ({}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon)[re^{L(r)}]^{(t)\rho_g^{L^*}}. \quad (6.19)$$

By condition (iii) we obtain from (6.18) and (6.19) for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\sigma_{f \circ g}^{L^*} - \varepsilon}{{}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*} + \varepsilon}.$$

Since $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we get from above

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t-1]} M(r, g)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\sigma_{fog}^{L^*}}{{}^{(t)}\sigma_g^{L^*}}. \quad (6.20)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.17) and (6.20). ■

Remark 6.3.3. The sign ' \leq ' in Theorem 6.3.6 cannot be replaced by ' $<$ ' only which is evident from the following example.

Example 6.3.3. Let $f = z$, $g = \exp z$, $t = 2$ and $L(r) = \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})$ where p is any positive real number. So

$${}^{(2)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} = {}^{(2)}\rho_g^{L^*} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(2)}\sigma_{fog}^{L^*} = {}^{(2)}\sigma_g^{L^*} = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{1}{p})}.$$

Also

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, fog)}{\log M(r, g)} = 1 = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, fog)}{\log M(r, g)}.$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, fog)}{\log M(r, g)} = \frac{{}^{(2)}\sigma_{fog}^{L^*}}{{}^{(2)}\sigma_g^{L^*}} = 1 = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, fog)}{\log M(r, g)}.$$

In the next few theorems we intend to establish some results on the comparative growth properties of composition of two entire functions f and g with respect to the left factor f where the t -th generalised L^* -order of f be zero i.e., the results involving $({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*})^*$ and $({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^*$.

Theorem 6.3.7. Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire functions such that $\rho_{fog} = 0$. Also let $0 < ({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^* \leq ({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^* < \infty$ and $0 < ({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^* \leq ({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*})^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*})^*} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^*} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*})^*}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. Since $\rho_{fog} = 0$ by Lemma 6.2.4, ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} = 0$.

Again ${}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq \rho_{fog}^{L^*} \leq \rho_{fog} = 0$ implies that ${}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} = 0$, where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

From the definition of $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*$ and $\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*$ we have for arbitrary positive ε and for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] \quad (6.21)$$

and

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.22)$$

Now from (6.21) and (6.22) it follows for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

As $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*}. \quad (6.23)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] \quad (6.24)$$

and for all large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.25)$$

So combining (6.24) and (6.25) we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary it follows that,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.26)$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.27)$$

Now from (6.21) and (6.27) we obtain for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.28)$$

Also for all large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.29)$$

So from (6.25) and (6.29) it follows for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

As $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.30)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.23), (6.26), (6.28) and (6.30). ■

Theorem 6.3.8. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire satisfying the conditions (i) $\rho_{fog} = 0$ and $\rho_g = 0$, (ii) $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* < \infty$ and (iii) $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^* (\lambda_g^{L^*})^*\right)}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*} &\leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^* (\rho_g^{L^*})^*\right)}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.8 and the second part of Lemma 6.2.6, Theorem 6.3.8 follows from Theorem 6.3.7. ■

Theorem 6.3.9. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire functions such that $\rho_{fog} = 0$. Also let $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* < \infty$ and $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)},$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.4, $\rho_{fog} = 0$ ($< \infty$) implies that $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* = 0$.

Again $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* \leq \rho_{fog} = 0$ implies that $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* = 0$ for $t = 2, 3, \dots$

From the definition of $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*$ we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.31)$$

Now from (6.29) and (6.31) it follows for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*}\right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

As $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain from above that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.32)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r, fog) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.33)$$

So combining (6.22) and (6.33) we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}]}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]} [re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary, it follows that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.34)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.32) and (6.34). ■

Theorem 6.3.10. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire functions satisfying the conditions (i) $\rho_{fog} = 0$ and $\rho_g = 0$, (ii) $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* \leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^* < \infty$ and (iii) $0 < \left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \left(\lambda_g^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \left(\rho_g^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.6 we obtain from Theorem 6.3.9

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*} \geq \frac{{}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot \left(\lambda_g^{L^*} \right)^*}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}$$

and

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{((t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*} \leq \frac{({}^{(t)}\rho_f^* \cdot (\rho_g^{L^*})^*)}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$ ■

The following theorem is a natural consequence of Theorem 6.3.7 and Theorem 6.3.9.

Theorem 6.3.11. *Let f be entire and g be transcendental entire functions such that $\rho_{fog} = 0$. Also let $0 < ((t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^* \leq ((t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^* < \infty$ and $0 < ((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^* \leq ((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{((t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{((t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^*}, \frac{((t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{((t)\lambda_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^*}, \frac{((t)\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*} \right\} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*})^*}{((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^*} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 6.3.12. *Let f be entire and g be a transcendental entire function such that $\rho_{fog} < \infty$, $0 < {}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} < \infty$ and $0 < ((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^* \leq ((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^* < \infty$. Then for any positive number A ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{((t)\rho_f^{L^*})^*} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} = \frac{1}{((t)\lambda_f^{L^*})^*}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of Lemma 6.2.4, $\rho_{fog} < \infty$ implies ${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} = 0$ for $t = 2, 3, \dots$

From the definition of t -th generalised L^* -lower order, we have for arbitrary positive ε and for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[t]} M(r, fog) &\geq \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_{fog}^{L^*} - \varepsilon \right) \log[re^{L(r)}] \\ \text{i.e., } \log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog) &\geq \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

Now in view of Definition 6.1.11, we obtain for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.36)$$

Now from (6.35) and (6.36) it follows for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

As $\varepsilon (> 0)$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.37)$$

Again for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\begin{aligned} \log^{[t]} M(r, fog) &\leq \left({}^{(t)}\rho_{fog}^{L^*} + \varepsilon \right) \log[re^{L(r)}] \\ \text{i.e., } \log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog) &\leq \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

Also for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.39)$$

So combining (6.38) and (6.39), we get for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity that

$$\frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

Since $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary it follows that,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.40)$$

Now from (6.37) and (6.40) we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} = \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.41)$$

Again for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \leq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.42)$$

So from (6.35) and (6.42) it follows for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{\log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* + \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \geq \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.43)$$

Also for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f) \geq \left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1). \quad (6.44)$$

Combining (6.38) and (6.44) we obtain for all sufficiently large values of r ,

$$\frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{\log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}{\left(\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^* - \varepsilon \right) \log^{[2]}[re^{L(r)}] + O(1)}.$$

As $\varepsilon(> 0)$ is arbitrary, we get that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} \leq \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \quad (6.45)$$

Now from (6.43) and (6.45) it follows that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} = \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \quad (6.46)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (6.41) and (6.46). ■

Remark 6.3.4. In particular, if we take $\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* = \left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*$ in Theorem 6.3.12, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t+1]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[t]} M(r^A, f)} = \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^*} = \frac{1}{\left({}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}\right)^*} \text{ for } t = 2, 3, \dots$$

Remark 6.3.5. The sign ' \leq ' in Theorem 6.3.12 cannot be replaced by ' $<$ ' only which is evident from the following example.

Example 6.3.4. If $f = z$, $g = \exp z$, $t = 2$ and $L(r) = \frac{1}{p} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ where p is any positive real number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{fog} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, fog)}{\log r} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \exp r}{\log r} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left({}^{(2)}\rho_f^{L^*}\right)^* &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[2]} [r e^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} r}{\log [\log r + L(r)]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} r}{\log \left[\log r \left(1 + \frac{L(r)}{\log r} \right) \right]} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} r}{\log^{[2]} r + \log \left(1 + \frac{\exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)}{p \log r} \right)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\left({}^{(2)}\lambda_g^{L^*}\right)^* = 1$.

Again

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, f)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} \exp r}{\log^{[2]} r^A} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} r}{\log^{[2]} r + O(1)} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, f)} = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\left({}^{(2)}\rho_f^{L^*} \right)^*} &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, f)} \\ &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[3]} M(r, fog)}{\log^{[2]} M(r^A, f)} = \frac{1}{\left({}^{(2)}\lambda_f^{L^*} \right)^*}. \end{aligned}$$

In the next few theorems we will show the equality of generalised relative L^* -orders (generalised relative L^* -lower orders) of two entire functions.

Theorem 6.3.13. *Let f, g, h be three entire functions such that $g \sim h$. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_h^{L^*}(f),$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon (> 0)$ be chosen arbitrary. By Lemma 6.2.9 it follows for all large values of r that

$$G(r) < (l + \varepsilon)H(r) < H(\alpha r) \tag{6.47}$$

where $0 < l < \infty$, $\alpha > \max\{1, l\}$ is such that $l + \varepsilon < \alpha$.

From (6.47) we obtain for all large r that

$$\begin{aligned} r &< G^{-1}(H(\alpha r)) \\ \text{i.e., } \frac{1}{\alpha} H^{-1}(t) &< G^{-1}(t) \quad \text{where } t = H(\alpha r) \\ \text{i.e., } H^{-1}(r) &< \alpha G^{-1}(r). \end{aligned} \tag{6.48}$$

Now by (6.48) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} H^{-1}F(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\
 &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]}[\alpha G^{-1}F(r)]}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\
 &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1}F(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\
 &= {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.49}$$

Since $g \sim h$, therefore $h \sim g$ and in a similar manner one can verify that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f). \tag{6.50}$$

Thus from (6.49) and (6.50) it follows that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f).$$

In a similar way one can show that

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_h^{L^*}(f).$$

This proves the theorem. ■

Remark 6.3.6. *The converse of Theorem 6.3.13 is not true which is evident from the following example.*

Example 6.3.5. *Let $f = g = \exp z$, $h = \exp 2z$ and $L(r) = \frac{1}{p} \exp(\frac{1}{r})$ where p is any positive real number. Also let $t = 1$.*

Then $F(r) = G(r) = \exp r$ and $H(r) = \exp 2r$, so that

$$\frac{G(r)}{H(r)} = \frac{\exp r}{\exp 2r} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $g \not\sim h$

But

$${}^{(1)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(1)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) = 1 = {}^{(1)}\lambda_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(1)}\lambda_h^{L^*}(f).$$

Theorem 6.3.14. *Let f, g, h be three entire functions such that $g \sim h$. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(h) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}(h),$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. Since $g \sim h$, in view of Lemma 6.2.9 for $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, there exists $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$G(r) < (l + \varepsilon_1)H(r) < H(\beta r) \quad (6.51)$$

where $0 < l < \infty$, $r > R_1$ and $\beta > \max\{1, l\}$ such that $l + \varepsilon_1 < \beta$.

Now from (6.51) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} F^{-1}G(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} F^{-1}H(\beta r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

For $0 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$ there exists $R_2 > 0$ such that for $r \geq R_2$

$$\log[re^{L(r)}] > (1 - \varepsilon_2) \log[\beta re^{L(r)}]. \quad (6.53)$$

So from (6.52) and (6.53) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g) &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} F^{-1}H(\beta r)}{(1 - \varepsilon_2) \log[\beta re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon_2} {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(h). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$ is arbitrary,

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g) \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(h). \quad (6.54)$$

As also $h \sim g$, we obtain in a like manner that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(h) \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g). \quad (6.55)$$

Combining (6.54) and (6.55) it follows that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\rho_f^{L^*}(h).$$

Similarly we can show that

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_f^{L^*}(h).$$

Thus the theorem is proved. ■

In the line of Theorem 6.3.13 and Theorem 6.3.14 we may state the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 6.3.15. *Let f, g, h, k be entire functions with $f \sim g$ and $h \sim k$. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_k^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\rho_k^{L^*}(g).$$

and

$${}^{(t)}\lambda_h^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_k^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_h^{L^*}(g) = {}^{(t)}\lambda_k^{L^*}(g).$$

Theorem 6.3.16. *Let f, g and h be any three entire functions. If $F(r) \leq G(r)$ for all large r , then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(g),$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. In view of the condition $F(r) \leq G(r)$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} H^{-1} F(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} H^{-1} G(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ &= {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(g). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. ■

The following theorem can be carried out in the line of Theorem 6.3.16 and so the proof is omitted.

Theorem 6.3.17. *Let f, g and h be any three entire functions. If $G(r) \leq H(r)$ for all large r , then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) \geq {}^{(t)}\rho_h^{L^*}(f),$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

In the next two theorems we will now investigate the relationship between the t -th generalised relative L^* -order of an entire function f and its derivative f' with respect to another entire function g and its derivative g' respectively.

Theorem 6.3.18. *Let f and g be any two transcendental entire functions. Then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f')$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and f' denotes the derivative of f .

Proof. Let $\bar{F}(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f'(z)|$. Without loss of any generality we may assume that $f(0) = 0$. Otherwise we set $f_1(z) = zf(z)$. Then $f_1(0) = 0$ and in view of Lemma 6.2.11 it follows that ${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f_1)$. We may write $f(z) = \int_0^z f'(t) dt$, where the line of integration is the segment from $z = 0$ to $z = re^{i\theta_0}$, $r > 0$. Let $z_1 = re^{i\theta_1}$ be such that $|f(z_1)| = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &= |f(z_1)| = \left| \int_0^{z_1} f'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq r \max\{|f'(z)| : |z| = r\} \\ &= r\bar{F}(r). \end{aligned} \tag{6.56}$$

Let C denote the circle $|t - z_0| = r$, where z_0 is defined so that $|f'(z_0)| = \max_{|z|=r} |f'(z)|$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}(r) &= \max_{|z|=r} |f'(z)| = |f'(z_0)| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(t)}{(t - z_0)^2} dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{F(2r)}{r^2} 2\pi r = \frac{F(2r)}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.57}$$

From (6.56) and (6.57) we obtain that

$$\frac{F(r)}{r} \leq \bar{F}(r) \leq \frac{F(2r)}{r} \text{ for } r > 0. \tag{6.58}$$

Let $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Since f is transcendental, from the second part of Lemma 6.2.10 it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(r^\sigma)}{r^\sigma \bar{F}(r)} = \infty \quad (s > 1).$$

We set $s = \frac{1}{\sigma}$ and so $F(r^s) > r^n F(r)$ for all large r . If we replace r by r^σ , then from above we get that

$$F(r^{s\sigma}) > r^{n\sigma} F(r^\sigma) \geq r F(r^\sigma),$$

where n is such that $n\sigma \geq 1$ i.e., $F(r) > r F(r^\sigma)$.

From (6.58) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F(r^\sigma) &< \frac{F(r)}{r} \leq \bar{F}(r) \leq \frac{F(2r)}{r} < F(2r), \quad r > 1 \\ \text{i.e., } F(r^\sigma) &< \bar{F}(r) < F(2r) \end{aligned} \quad (6.59)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} F(r^\sigma)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} \bar{F}(r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[t]} G^{-1} F(2r)}{\log[re^{L(r)}]} \\ \text{i.e., } \sigma \cdot {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) &\leq {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f') \leq {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f'). \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

Letting $\sigma \rightarrow 1 - 0$, we obtain that

$${}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_g^{L^*}(f').$$

Thus the theorem is proved. ■

In the line of Theorem 6.3.18 we may state the following theorem without poof.

Theorem 6.3.19. *If f and g are any two transcendental entire functions, then*

$${}^{(t)}\rho_{g'}^{L^*}(f) = {}^{(t)}\rho_{g'}^{L^*}(f')$$

where $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and f', g' respectively denote the derivatives of f and g .

-----X-----